



Species diversity and distribution of butterfly fauna with heterogeneous habitats in Jhansi, India

Ashok Kumar

Department of Zoology, B.S.N.V. P.G. College (Lucknow University), Lucknow, (U.P.), India.

*Corresponding author: ashokhpl@yahoo.com, ashokbsnv1@gmail.com

Abstract

Different species of plants and habitats of Jhansi city attract wide variety of butterfly fauna, which play a vital role in pollination of various flowering plants besides a key component of food chain. The investigation on species diversity and abundance was carried out in some distinct habitat types; within the Jhansi viz. gardens, parks (Nagar Nigam, University, and Jhansi fort), green areas of Jhansi Orchha Highway, Jhansi Gwalior Highway road sites and hilly areas. Regular survey was conducted from September 2010 to August 2011 during day time (7.00AM-11.00AM). Nine hundred and fifty five individuals of butterflies have been seen from various study sites, which include 38 identified species belonged to 29 genera and Six families viz., Nymphalidae-Brush-footed Butterfly family was the most dominant with 11 species followed by Pieridae-White and yellows (10), Lycaenidae-Blues (6), Danaidae-The tigers (4), Hesperidae-Skippers (4), Papilionidae-Swallotails (3). During work five different urban habitats of Jhansi were selected for extensive sampling to determine the butterflies. The diversity was calculated by using diversity indices namely: Simpson's index (D), (1-D), (1/D), Margalef's index (d) and Shannon-Wiener index (H'). The calculated values of diversity indices showed that from district Jhansi the highest diversity was obtained from Narayan bagh and lowest diversity was obtained from Jhansi Gwalior Highway. All sites were selected on the basis of their position in vegetation and accessibility.

Keywords: Species diversity, Quantitative data, heterogeneous habitats, Abundance, Diversity indices.

Introduction

The biodiversity evaluation is the process of measuring the value of biodiversity components, such as the number of species present, the population of a species, a habitat or the sum of all such components within a given area or site (David et al., 2005). Biodiversity is the contraction of biological diversity. The word biodiversity is now very widely used not only by the scientific community, but also the general public, environmental, groups, conservationists, industrialist and economists. It is also gained a very high profile in the national and international political arena.

Butterflies are the most beautiful and colorful creatures on the earth and have a great aesthetic value. More than 17000 species of butterfly are found all over world of these India is home to about 1501 species of butterfly which constitute 65% of total Indian fauna. Various ecosystems of our country support different species of butterfly. The Western Ghats alone support 330 species, out of which 48 are endemic to Nilgiri Biosphere reserve. About 50% of butterfly species of India is found in the state of Assam. The exact status of butterflies particularly of northern and central region of India is still not clearly known due to lack of proper study.

Among insects, butterflies are certainly most popular and eminent group. Butterflies occupy a vital position in ecosystems and their occurrence and diversity are considered as good indicators of the health of any given terrestrial biotope (Aluri and Rao, 2002; Kunte, 2000). Butterflies and moths (order Lepidoptera) offer good opportunities for studies on population and community ecology (Pollard, 1991).

India hosts about 1,504 species of butterflies (Tiple, 2011) of which peninsular India hosts 351 and the western Ghat 334. In central India the butterfly species diversity was reported earlier by D'Abreu (1931) and documented total 177 species occurring in the erstwhile Central Provinces (now Madhya Pradesh and Vidarbha). Some habitats components that influence the patterns of the butterfly diversity are determine by abiotic and biotic factors such as vegetation including host plants, food availability, temperature and wind exposure (Khan etal. 2004; Jain and Jain, 2012; Kharat etal.2012; Kumaraswamy and Kunte. 2013). In open grassy habitats we can find the major components of butterflies diet, which include flower nectar, sap, fruit juices, carrion, scat and wetland moisture (Weber,2002). The resources such as host plants and food sources for butterflies available in grassy areas make them indispensable sites for their survivor and consequently for our survey Kumar, 2011, 2012 & 2014 total of species 23 belonging to 4 families, 27 species belonging to 5 families and 38 species belonging to 6 families respectively were detected from the different sites of in and around Jhansi. Singh, 2009 a total of 3617 individuals of 147 species of butterflies were recorded during 11 sampling survey out in Kedranath musk deer reserve, Garhwal Himalaya. Seventy species of butterflies belonging to 45 genera were recorded in the regional research laboratory campus, Jorhat, Assam, maximum number of species were observed in the family Nymphalidae (40), followed by Papilionidae (12), Pieridae (10) ,Lycaenidae (5) and Hesperidae (3) observed by Bhuyan etal. 2005. In Bir Shikargarh Wildlife Santury, Haryana, a total of 24 Butterflies species belonging to four families viz Nymphalidae Papilionidae, Pieridae and Lycaenidae were documented during the survey (Uniyal and Bhargav, 2007)

The present study was started with a view to examine the diversity and dynamics of butterfly population across seasons and some urban habitats in Jhansi. Despite its limitations, this study did attempt, perhaps for the first time, butterfly monitoring in central India with wide objectives of study.

Materials and Methods

Jhansi, the gateway of Bundelkhand is located in the plateau of central India at 25° 26'N and 78° 34'E latitude. This region has tropical dry equable climate having three main seasons i.e. cold, summer and rainy. Five contrasting forest areas were chosen in the present study depending upon the complexity of habitat structure. Thick vegetation assemblage with closed canopy cover, edges of forest and area of human intervention in and around Jhansi were studied for butterfly diversity and has been referred to as Study site-1 (University Campus), Study site-2 (Narayan Bagh), Study site-3 (Jhansi Fort), Study site-4 (Sides of Jhansi Orchha highway), and Study site-5 (Sides of Jhansi Gwalior highway) Survey of all study sites was done for butterfly sampling for one year from December 2010 to November 2011. All surveys and sampling were limited to day time from 7.00 am to 11.00 am, when butterflies were more active. Temperature and humidity were also recorded. All the butterflies on the line as well 50m. on each side were recorded with respective time and number of individuals seen butterflies were identified directly in the each site or in any difficult case following photography and identification following Wynter-Blyth 1957; Kunte 1996,1997 & 2002. No capture or collection was made during the present study.

Data Analysis

The diversity was calculated by using diversity indices namely: Simpson's index (D), (1-D), 1/D (1949), Margalef's index (d) (1969) and Shannon-Wiener index (H') (1963).

1. Simpson's Index (D)

$$1 - \frac{n(n-1)}{N(N-1)}$$

Where, n= Total number of organisms of a particular species

N= Total number of organisms of all species

2. Margalef's index (D_{mg})

$$\frac{S-1}{\ln N}$$

Where, N= Total number of individuals in the sample

S= Number of species recorded

3. Shannon-Wiener index (E)

$$\frac{H'}{\ln S}$$

Where, H' = Shannon-Wiener index
 S = Species richness

Results and Discussion

Nine hundred and fifty five individuals of butterflies collected from various study sites, which include 29 genera and 38 identified species belonging in six families (Table-1). Nymphalidae-Brush-footed Butterfly family was the most dominant with 11 species followed by Pieridae-White and yellows (10), Lycaenidae-Blues (6), Danaidae-The tigers (4), Hesperidae-Skippers (4), Papilionidae-Swallotails (3).

Table 1.Total Number and percentage of individuals, genera and species of different family

S.N.	Family	Total Individuals	Individuals (%)	Total Genera	Genera (%)	Total Species	Species (%)
1	Nymphalidae	211	22.09	7	24.13	11	28.94
2	Pieridae	430	45.02	7	24.13	10	26.31
3	Lycaenidae	90	9.42	6	20.68	6	15.78
4	Danaidae	90	9.42	3	10.34	4	10.52
5	Hesperidae	79	8.27	4	13.79	4	10.52
6	Papilionidae	55	5.75	2	6.89	3	7.89
	TOTAL	955		29		38	

In **Ss1** 181 butterflies belong to 38 species collected, these are Atella phalanta (4), Precis lemonias (1), Precis orithya (2), Precis hierta (2), Precis atlites (4), Hypolimnas missipus (3), Hypolimnas bolina (2), Argynnis hyperbius (4), Euthalia nais (3), Ergolis merione (1), Byblia ilithyia (4), Ixias marianne (21), Catopsilia pyranthe (4), Catopsilia florella (3), Eurema brigitta (36), Catopsilia crocale (6), Anaphaeis aurota (8), Cepora nerissa (5), Colotis fausta (2), Terias laeta (2), Terias hecabe (2), Chilades prrhasius (6), Zizina otis (2), Catochrysops strabo (2), Lampides boeticus (6), Tarucus extricatus (3), Azanus jesous (4), Danaus genutia (5), Danaus chrysippus (6), Tirumala limniace (3), Euploea core (3), Udaspes folus (4), Telicota ancila (3), Tatractrocera maevius (4), Potanthus confucius (2), Papilio demoleus (4), Papilio ploytes (4), Pachliopta aristolochiae (1); in **Ss2** 257 butterflies belong to 37 species recorded, these are Atella phalanta (5), Precis lemonias (2), Precis orithya (11), Precis hierta (6), Precis atlites (10), Hypolimnas missipus (4), Hypolimnas bolina (4), Argynnis hyperbius (5), Euthalia nais (7), Byblia ilithyia (6), Ixias marianne (33), Catopsilia pyranthe (3), Catopsilia florella (4), Eurema brigitta (51), Catopsilia crocale (3), Anaphaeis aurota (3), Cepora nerissa (6), Colotis fausta (1), Terias laeta (4), Terias hecabe (6), Chilades prrhasius (2), Zizina otis (3), Catochrysops strabo (3), Lampides boeticus (8), Tarucus extricatus

(1), Azanus jesous (7), Danaus genutia (8), Danaus chrysippus (1), Tirumala limniace (5), Euploea core (7), Udaspes folus (9), Telicota ancila (2), Tatractrocera maevius (6), Potanthus confucius (6), Papilio demoleus (8), Papilio ploytes (6), Pachliopta aristolochiae (1) in **Ss3** 174 butterflies belong to 37 species recorded, these are Atella phalanta (3), Precis lemonias (8), Precis orithya (2), Precis hierta (5), Precis atlites (8), Hypolimnas missipus (3), Hypolimnas bolina (2), Argynnis hyperbius (2), Euthalia nais (4), Ergolis merione (2), Byblia ilithyia (5), Ixias marianne (17), Catopsilia pyranthe (3), Catopsilia florella (2), Eurema brigitta (32), Catopsilia crocale (2), Anaphaeis aurota (1), Cepora nerissa (8), Colotis fausta (1), Terias laeta (3), Terias hecabe (2), Chilades prrhasius (2), Zizina otis (1), Lampides boeticus (7), Tarucus extricatus (1), Azanus jesous (5), Danaus genutia (4), Danaus chrysippus (6), Tirumala limniace (2), Euploea core (5), Udaspes folus (8), Telicota ancila (1), Tatractrocera maevius (3), Potanthus confucius (4), Papilio demoleus (5), Papilio ploytes (4), Pachliopta aristolochiae (1); in **Ss4** 177 butterflies belong to 35 species recorded, these are Atella phalanta (2), Precis lemonias (2), Precis orithya (4), Precis hierta (3), Precis atlites (5), Hypolimnas missipus (3), Hypolimnas bolina (4), Argynnis hyperbius (6), Euthalia nais (5), Byblia ilithyia (3), Ixias marianne (17), Catopsilia pyranthe (4),

Catopsilia florella (5), Eurema brigitta (39), Catopsilia crocale (1), Anaphaeis aurota (3), Cepora nerissa (6), Colotis fausta (3), Terias laeta (4), Terias hecabe (5), Chilades prrhasius (2), Catochrysops strabo (2), Lampides boeticus (4), Azanus jesous (2), Danaus genutia (2), Danaus chrysippus (4), Tirumala limniace (3) Euploea core (6), Udaspes folus (6), Telicota ancila(1), Tatractrocera maevius(5), Potanthus confucius (4), Papilio demoleus (6) Papilio ploytes (4), Pachliopta aristolochiae (3); and in Ss5 166 butterflies belongs to 37 species recorded, these are Atella phalanta (3), Precis lemonias (2), Precis orithya (8), Precis hierta (7), Precis atlites (4), Hypolimnas missipus (2), Hypolimnas bolina (3), Argynnis hyperbius (3), Euthalia nais (4), Ergolis merione (2), Byblia ilithyia (2), Ixias marianne (12), Catopsilia pyranthe (4), Catopsilia florella (3), Eurema brigitta (28), Catopsilia crocale (4), Anaphaeis aurota (3), Cepora nerissa (7), Colotis fausta (1), Terias laeta (3), Terias hecabe (3), Chilades prrhasius (3), Zizina otis (2), Catochrysops strabo (4), Lampides boeticus (4), Tarucus extricatus (2), Azanus jesous (3), Danaus genutia (6), Danaus chrysippus (2), Tirumala limniace (4), Euploea core (8), Udaspes folus (3), Telicota ancila (2), Tatractrocera maevius (4), Potanthus confucius (2), Papilio demoleus (4) Papilio ploytes (4).

Ergolis merione is not found in both Ss4 and Ss2. Zizeeria otis and Tarucus extricates are also not found in Ss4. Catochrysops Strabo, Pachliopta aristolochiae are not found in Ss3 and Ss5 respectively. (Table-1). During the survey 210 butterflies (21.98%), 7 genera (24.13%), 11 species (28.94%); 430 butterflies (45.02%), 7 genera (24.13%), 10 species (26.31%); 90 butterflies (9.42%), 6 genera (20.68%), 6 species (15.78%); 90 butterflies (9.42%), 3 genera (10.34%), 4 species (10.52%); 80 butterflies (8.37%), 4 genera (13.79%), 4 species (10.52%) and 55 butterflies (5.75%), 2 genera (6.89%), 3 species (7.89%) are recorded in family Nymphalidae-Brush-footed butterfly, Pieridae-White and yellows, Lycaenidae-Blues, Danaidae-The tigers, Hesperidae-Skippers and Papilionidae-Swallotails respectively (Table-1)

The localities which yielded higher diversity (Ss1 and Ss2) have very dense vegetation and abundant flowering plants and high trees which provide very favorable habitat to the butterflies. Their larvae can easily find the host plants and the dense vegetation provide excellent shelter to the adult butterflies, particularly during the summer The Calculated values of this index showed that butterflies are more or less equally distributed at all the localities of district Jhansi because the statistics data did not show the much difference among the sites (Table-2)

Table-2. Calculated values of Diversity indices different Habitats of Jhansi

S.N.	Study Sites	Total species	Simpson's index (D)	Simpson's index (1-D) of diversity	Simpson's reciprocal index (1/D)	Margalef's index (d)	Shannon-Wiener index(h)
1	Ss 1	181	.2973	.7027	3.3636	25.9690	1.4503
2	Ss 2	257	.2552	.7248	3.6337	37.3488	1.506
3	Ss 3	174	.2560	.7440	3.906	25.0937	1.5989
4	Ss 4	177	.3084	.9616	3.2425	25.3885	1.4387
5	Ss 5	166	.2563	.7437	3.9016	23.7806	1.511

Ss1-University Campus, Ss2-Narayan Bagh Ss3 -Jhansi Fort Ss4- Jhansi Orchha Highway Ss5- Jhansi Gwalior Highway

Shannon-Wiener index (H'). Component ranged from 1.4387 (Ss4) to 1.5989 (Ss3), indicating that the lowest equitability was calculated from Ss4 and the highest diversity was calculated from Ss3. Both the values indicate that the butterfly fauna is more or less evenly distributed at all the localities of Jhansi. (Table-2). The calculated values of Margalef's index at the different localities of Jhansi city ranged from 23.7806 (Ss5) to 37.3488 (Ss2), indicating that butterflies are more

abundant at Ss2 and less Abundant at Ss5 remaining all the habitats show more or less the same abundance (Table-2) Simpson's index give the species abundance and diversity by D. As D increase diversity decrease and the Simpson's index is usually express as 1-D or 1/d. This index is heavily weighted towards the most abundant species and being less sensitive to species richness.

The calculated values of Simpson's index D ranged from .2552 (Ss2) to .3084 (Ss4). The calculated values of 1-D ranged from .6916 (Ss4) to .7440 (Ss3). Similarly 1/D ranged from 3.2425 (Ss4) to 3.906 (Ss3). This index showed that the lowest abundance was obtained from Ss4 and the highest abundance was obtained from Ss2. The flora of the Ss2 is densely rich which supported high diversity whereas, at Ss4 lower diversity was due to reason that the difficult terrain could not be sampled properly .

Similar studies have been conducted in other part of India, like in the Kerala (Nair, 2002), Poonch and Sudhnoti, Azad Kashmir (Khan, etal. 2004), Birshikargarh wildlife sanctuary, Haryana (Uniyal and Bhargav,2007), Nashikand Dhuledistricts Maharashtra (Kharat, etal. 2012), Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh (Kumar, 2011, 2012 & 2014, Kumar & Ratnakar, 2013) and Hadoti region, Rajasthan (Jain &

Jain, 2012); It is likely that relative impoverishment of the present butterfly fauna of four sites of Jhansi is due to the much greater extend and persistence of rural man and livestock-related deforestation(Versteeg and Ruiz,1995; Beers etal. 1997). In the recent past, several researchers have studied butterflies from some districts and conservation areas of Madhya Pradesh and Chhattisgarh (Singh, 1977; Gupta, 1987; Chaudhury, 1995; Chandra et al., 2000a, b; 2002; Singh & Chandra, 2002; Siddiqui & Singh, 2004; Chandra, 2006; Tiple, 2012). Chandra et al. (2007) recorded 174 species of butterflies belonging to eight families from Madhya Pradesh and Chhattisgarh. The study sites representing habitats under different vegetation communication and levels of disturbance were selected (Table-3). Level of disturbances was determined by observing the various anthropogenic activities in different study sites during the investigation period.

Table 3. Anthropogenic activities in five selected sites.

S.N.	Sites	Activities
1	Ss 1	Visitors (students and their relatives),noise, insecticide application
2	Ss 2	Gardening, manuring, watering ,visitors, picnic
3	Ss 3	Visitors (tourist , staff), noise
4	Ss 4	Highway, traffics i.e. two wheelers, Cars, Buses tracks etc.
5	Ss 5	Highway, traffics i.e. two wheelers, Cars, Buses tracks etc.

The present study is the first of this type of study in the area. Therefore, it is very difficult to say whether the diversity of butterflies in the area is increasing or decreasing. Therefore, it is suggested that the area under the study should be continuously monitored to observe any change in the discovery of butterflies, because the changes in the diversity can only observed through continuous monitoring and comparing the data of every year. As the district was undergoing urbanizing many new residential colonies were getting established. Establishing residential colonies means cutting of trees and other supporting plants for shelter of butterflies, in turn increasing pollution, soil erosion etc. All these factors add up destruct the natural habitat. Despite the dry weather of Jhansi district, occurrence of 38 species was a vital sign of healthy biodiversity. In order to maintain and further enhance this picture it is necessary to conserve the biodiversity for achieving sustainable development.

References

- Aluri, J.S.R. and Rao, S.P. 2002. Psychophily and evolution consideration of *cadaba fruticosa* (capparaceae). Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society 99(1): 59-63.
- Beers, C.E., DeFreitas, J.A. and Ketner, P.1997. Landscape ecological vegetation map of island of Curacao, Netherlands Antilles. Publications Foundation for Scientific Research in the Caribbean region No.138, Amsterdam, The Netherland, 51pp.
- Bhuyan,M. Bhattachrya, P.R. and Kanjilat, P.B. 2005. Butteflies of the regional research laboratory campus, Jorhat. Assam. Zoos'print journal 20(6):1910-1911.
- Chaudhury, M. 1995. Insecta: Lepidoptera, Fauna of Conservation Area: Fauna of Indravati Tiger Reserve. Zoological Survey of India 6: 45-52.
- Chandra, K., R.K. Singh & Koshta M.L. 2000a. On a collection of butterflies (Lepidoptera: Rhopalocera) from Sidhi District, Madhya Pradesh, India. Records of Zoological Survey of India 98(4): 11-23.

- Chandra, K., R.K. Singh & Kostas M.L. 2000b. On a collection of Butterfly fauna from Pachmarhi Biosphere Reserve. Proceedings of National Seminar on Biodiversity Conservation 8 Management with Special Reference on Biosphere Reserve, EPCO, Bhopal, November, 72–77pp.
- Chandra, K., L.K. Chaudhary, R.K. Singh & Koshta M.L. 2002. Butterflies of Pench Tiger Reserve, Madhya Pradesh. Zoos' Print Journal 17(10): 908–909.
- Chandra, K. 2006. The Butterflies (Lepidoptera: Rhopalocera) of Kangerghati National Park (Chhattisgarh). Advancement in Indian Entomology: Productivity and Health, Vol. II, 83–88pp.
- Chandra, K., R.M. Sharma, A. Singh & R.K. Singh 2007. A checklist of butterflies of Madhya Pradesh and Chhattisgarh States, India. Zoos' Print Journal 22(8): 2790–2798.
- D'Abreu, E. A. 1931. The Central Provinces Butterfly List. Records of the Nagpur Museum Number VII, Government Printing City Press, 39pp.
- Devid. H., F. Matthew, T. Graham, S. Michael and S. Philip 2005. Handbook of Biodiversity Methods *Survey, evaluation and monitoring*. Cambridge University Press.
- Gupta, I.J. & Shukla J.P.N. 1987. Butterflies from Bastar district (Madhya Pradesh, India). Records of Zoological Survey of India, Occasional Paper 106: 1–74.
- Jain, N. and Jain, A. 2012. Butterfly diversity of Hadoti Region, Rajasthan, India. Flora and Fauna 18(2): 274-276.
- Khan, M.R., Khurshid, A., Ikram, B., Malik, A.I. and Mir, A. 2004. Biodiversity of butterflies from district Pooch and Sudhnoti, Azad Kashmir. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences 3(5): 556-560.
- Kharat, A., Nikam, S. and Gurule, S. 2012. Pattern of butterfly diversity from Nashik and Dhule Districts, Maharashtra. Flora and Fauna 18(2): 243-252.
- Kumar, A. 2011. A study of butterfly abundance and diversity in Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh, India. The Biosphere 3(1): 45-48.
- Kumar, A. 2012. A report on the butterflies in Jhansi (U. P.) India. Journal of Applied and Natural Science 4(1): 51-55.
- Kumar, A. 2014. Butterflies Abundance and species diversity in some urban habitats. International Journal of Advance Research 2(6): 367-374.
- Kumar A. and Ratnakar, S.S. 2013. A survey of butterfly species in four habitats of Jhansi, (U P.), India. The Biosphere 5(2): 185-189.
- Kumaraswamy, S., and Kunte K. 2013. Intergrating biodiversity and conservation with modern agricultural landscapes. Biodiversity and conservation, 22: 2735-2750.
- Kunte, K. J. 1997. Seasonal Patterns in the Butterfly Abundance and Species Diversity in Four Tropical Habitats in Northern Western Ghats. J. Biosci., Vol. 22, Number 5: 593-603
- Kunte, K.J. 1996. Strange Behaviour of Mottled Emigrant male. Journal Bombay Natural History Society 3(2): 307-308
- Kunte, K.J. 2000. India a Lifescape Butterflies of Peninsular India. Universities Press (India) Limited.
- Margalef's, R., 1969. Diversity and stability: A practical proposal a model of inters-dependence. Book haven Symposia in Biology, 22; 25-37.
- Nair, V.P. 2002. Butterflies of the Government College campus, Madappally. Kozikode district, Kerala. Zoos Print Journal 17(10): 911-912.
- Pollard, E. 1991. Monitoring Butterfly Numbers; in Monitoring for Conservation and Ecology (ed.). F.B. Goldsmith (London: Chapman and Hall):87
- Shannon, E.R. and Wiener, W. 1963. The mathematical theory of communication university of Illinois press Urbana Illinois, pp: 117
- Simpson, E.H. 1949. Measurement of diversity. Nature, London, 163-688.
- Siddiqui, A. & S.P. Singh 2004. A checklist of the butterfly diversity of Panna Forest (M.P). National Journal of Life Sciences 1(2): 403–406.
- Singh, R.K. & K. Chandra 2002. An inventory of butterflies of Chhattisgarh. Journal of Tropical Forestry 18(1): 67–74.
- Singh, R.K. 1977. On a collection of butterflies (Insecta) from Bastar district, Madhya Pradesh, India. Newsletter Zoological Survey of India 3(5): 323–326.
- Singh, A.P. 2009. Butterflies of Kedarnath Musk deer Reserve, Garhwal Himalaya, India. Journal of Threatened Taxa 1(1):37-48.
- Tiple, A.D. 2011. Butterflies of Vidarbha region Maharashtra, India; a review with and implication for conservation. Journal of Threatened Taxa 3(1): 1469–1477.
- Tiple, A.D. 2012. Butterfly species diversity, relative abundance and status in Tropical Forest Research Institute, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, central India. Journal of Threatened Taxa 4(7): 2713–2717.
- Uniyal, V.P. and Bhargav, V. 2007. Assessment of butterflies in Bir Shikargarh wildlife sanctuary, Haryana. Tiger paper: 34 (3).

- Versteeg, A.H. and Ruiz, A.C. 1995. Reconstructing brasilwood island: the archaeology and landscape of Indian Aruba. Pulications of the Archaeological Museum Aruba6, Oranjestad, Aruba, 116pp.
- Weber , L. 2002. Butterflies of the north Woods. Kolath Sten Soas Publishing, Minnesota.
- Wynter-Blyth, M.A. 1957. Butterflies of the Indian Region. Bombay Natural History Society, CME Press Poona.

Access this Article in Online	
	Website: www.ijarbs.com
	Subject: Biodiversity
Quick Response Code	
DOI: 10.22192/ijarbs.2017.04.07.013	

[How to cite this article:](#)

Ashok Kumar. (2017). Species diversity and distribution of butterfly fauna with heterogeneous habitats in Jhansi, India. Int. J. Adv. Res. Biol. Sci. 4(7): 104-110.

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22192/ijarbs.2017.04.07.013>