# International Journal of Advanced Research in Biological Sciences ISSN : 2348-8069 www.ijarbs.com

**Research Article** 

# 

Entomological survey of sucking insect pestsof cotton in district Bahawalpur

# Maqbool Shah<sup>1</sup>, Ahmad Nawaz<sup>2</sup>, Irshad Ahmad Tabassum<sup>3</sup>, Muhammad Tariq<sup>4</sup>, Maqsood Ahmad<sup>5</sup>, Mazher Farid Iqbal<sup>6</sup>and Zahid Iqbal<sup>7</sup>

Pest Warning and Quality Control of Pesticides, Bahawalpur<sup>1, 3</sup>,Hasilpur<sup>2</sup>, Sialkot<sup>5</sup> Department of Agri-Entomology, Pir Mehr Ali Shah-Arid Agriculture University, Rawalpindi <sup>6</sup>Adaptive Research Station, Sialkot <sup>7</sup>Office of District Officer Agriculture (Extension) Sialkot \*Corresponding author: <u>adappbwp@gmail.com</u>

#### Abstract

A survey was conducted to evaluate month-wise comparison of infested area of above and Below Economic Injury Level (AEIL and BEIL) of sucking insect-pests of cottonin District Bahawalpur during 2012-2013. The surveyed data showed that (0.59%& 0%); (3.16% & 0.23%); (4.38% and 1.72%); (3.40% and 3.00%); (2.15% and 3.06%)and (0.50% & 1.88%)infestation on AEIL was recorded by Jassid however (8.03% & 12.35%); (9.07% & 8.47%); (9.95% & 9.73%); 9.28% & 10.54%); (9.61% & 9.82%) and (7.65% & 9.46%) area was infested BEIL by Jassid during 2012-2013. The surveyed data showed that (0.17% & 0.61%); (0.13% & 0.15%); (0.60% & 0.81%); (1.49% & 1.27%); (1.06% & 1.14%) and (0% & 0%) infestation on AEIL was recorded by Thrips, on the other hand (11.32% & 17.89%); (12.31% & 10.72%); (12.84% & 11.45%); (10.93% & 12.01%); (10.29% & 11.31%) and (4.85% & 8.06%) area was infested BEIL by Thrips. The surveyed data showed that(0% & 0%); (0.15% & 0.15%); (0.20% & 0.92%); (0.05% & 0.11%); (0.02% & 0.0%) and (0% & 0%) infestation on AEIL was recorded by miteshowever (0.13% & 0%); (0.75% & 0.56%); (0.62% & 2.17%); (0.65% & 1.36%); (0.62% & 0.69%)and (0.24% & 0.19%) area was infested BEIL by mites. At the end it was concluded that population of jassid; Thrips and mites was increasing gradually with the passage of time up-to August. However the farmers are advised to be vigilant and conduct regular pest scouting of their crop with the consultation of Agriculture Officers working in their respective area to avoid high infestation.

Keywords: Pest, Scouting, Survey, Cotton, Jassid, Thrips, Mites, Bahawalpur

### Introduction

Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.)isacash crop known as silver fiber, back bone of Pakistan; considered the 4<sup>th</sup>largest producer and 3<sup>rd</sup>largest consumer throughout the world (Zeeshan et al. 2010). Cotton having a share of 1.4% in GDP, 6.7% in agriculture value addition and an important source of raw material to the textile industry. During July-March 2013-14, textile industry fetched foreign exchange earnings of US\$ 10.385 billion. The crop was cultivated on an area of 2806 thousand hectares, 2.5% less than last year's area of

2879 thousand hectares. The production stand over 12.8 million bales during this period against the target of 14.1 million bales, showing decline of 9.2% against the target and decline of 2.0% over the last year production of 13.0 million bales (Anonymous, 2013).Pest complex is recorded in cotton crop; however huge quantity of pesticides were sprayed to break out the life cycle of these pests. The results revealed that cotton crop was damaged up-to 30-40% by the attack of Insect Pest (Huque, 1972). Insect pests

are major limiting factors in producing cotton and hundreds of species of insect pests found in a cotton field, but 10-15 of those species are capable of producing economical damage (Greene, 2012). The insect pests cause heavy qualitative and quantitative losses varying from 40-50% (Naqvi, 1976). The sucking insects pests included jassid (Amarasca devastans dist.); thrips (thrips tabaci Lint.); whitefly (Bemisia tabaciGennadius), aphids (Aphis gossypii Glov.) and mites are responsible for reduction in boll production.Furthermore, bolls from healthy plants produce about 33.3% more Lint than those from normally infested plants. The continuous and indiscriminate use of synthetic pesticides led to adoption of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) approaches which are very useful potential means of ameliorating commodity losses to pests, thereby enhancing the long term sustainability in agroecosystem. Under IPM the host plant resistance is internationally recognized approach. The varietal resistance can be played an important role in compatible with different pest control tactics of IPM (Ali and Ahmad, 1982; Jin et al. 1999and Khan et al. 2003). However the study had been planned to evaluate month-wise comparison of infested area of Above and Below Economic Injury Level (AEIL and BEIL) of sucking pests of cottonin District Bahawalpur during 2012-2013.

## **Materials and Methods**

Entomological survey was conducted to evaluate month-wise comparison of infested area of Above and Below Economic Injury Level (AEIL and BEIL) against sucking insect pest of cotton in District Bahawalpur during 2012-2013.The entire District was divi kets and pest scouting was done by Mario Methodat morning and evening time. The EIL of both nymph and adult of jassid was 1/leaf; thrips 8-10/leaf and mites 10-15/leaf during the whole season. The attack was recorded from three upper, middle and lower portions of leaves from randomly selected plant then taken its average. When bolls were mature and opened at the end of September and later on 2-3 picking was applied in the field (Shah et. al., 2015).

## **Results and Discussion**

From Fig. 1 and 2 the data showed that (0.59% & 0%): (3.16% & 0.23%); (4.38% and 1.72%); (3.40% and 3.00%); (2.15% and 3.06%) and (0.50% & 1.88%) infestation on AEIL was recorded by Jassid however (8.03% & 12.35%); (9.07% & 8.47%); (9.95% & 9.73%); 9.28% & 10.54%); (9.61% & 9.82%) and (7.65% & 9.46%) area was infested BEIL by Jassid during 2012-2013. The surveyed data showed that (0.17% & 0.61%); (0.13% & 0.15%); (0.60% &0.81%); (1.49% & 1.27%); (1.06% & 1.14%) and (0% & 0%) infestation on AEIL was recorded by Thrips, on the other hand (11.32% & 17.89%); (12.31% & 10.72%); (12.84% & 11.45%); (10.93% & 12.01%); (10.29% & 11.31%) and (4.85% & 8.06%) area was infested BEIL. The surveyed data showed that (0% & 0%); (0.15% & 0.15%); (0.20% & 0.29%); (0.05% & 0.11%); (0.02% & 0%) and (0% & 0%) infestation on AEIL was recorded by mites however (0.13% & 0%); (0.75% & 0.56%); (0.62% & 2.17%); (0.65% &1.36%); (0.62% & 0.69%) and (0.24% & 0.19%) area was infested BEIL by mites. These results were in accordance to Sontakke et al., (2000); Natwick et al., (2002), Fairbanks et al., (1999), Yunus et al., (1980), Bhatnagar and Sharma (1991).







#### Conclusion

At the end it was concluded that population of jassid; Thrips and mites was increasinggradually with the passage of time. However the cotton growers are advised to be vigilant in crucial time and conduct regular pest scouting of crop with the consultation of Agriculture Officers with their respective area to avoid high infestation.

#### References

- Ali, A. and M. Ahmad. 1982. Biophysical resistance in different varieties of cotton against insect pests. Pak. Ento. 4: 27-32.
- Anonymous, 2013.Economic survey of Pakistan Ministry of Food and Agriculture and Livestock Stat. Division Govt. of Pakistan Islamabad pp-26.
- Bhatnagar, P. and Sharma, P. D. 1991. Comparative incidence of sucking insect pest on different isogenic line of cotton variety. J. Insect Sci., 4(2):170-171.
- Fairbanks, M.W., Johson, D. R., Kring, I.J., Duggar, P. and Richter, D. 1999. Thrips tolerance on selected cotton cultivars. Proc. Beltwide Conf. Florida, USA. 2:980-981.
- Greene, J. K. 2012. South Carolina Pest Management Handbook for Field Crops. Pp. 91-105.
- Huque, H., 1972. Cotton Entomology: In Cotton in Pakistan Center cotton Commit. Karachi. pp 183-238.

- Jin, Z. Q., G. D. Cao, S. S. Luo, J. M. Hong and Y. Q. Hung. 1999.Insect resistance and yield of different insect resistant hybrid cotton cultivars. Zhejiang NongyeKexue, 3: 142-144.
- Khan, M. T., M. Naeem and M. Akram. 2003. Studies on the varietal resistance of cotton against insect pest complex of cotton. Sarhad J. Agri. 19:93-96.
- Shah, M., Nawaz, A., Rashid R. H., Aslam, S., Iqbal, M. F. and Hasan, M. 2015. Entomological; Survey on cotton whitefly in District Bahawalpur. Int. J. Adv. Res. Bio. Sci. 2(2):68-71.
- Natwich, E. T., Chu, C. C. and Henneberry. 2000. Bemissia tabaci B. colonization on okra and normal leaf upland cotton strain and cultivars. J. Econ. Entomo. 95(4):733-738.
- Naqvi, K. M. 1976. Crop protection to boost up cotton production. Proc. Cotton Prode Sem. Organized by ESSO Fert. Co. Ltd., Pak.
- Sontakke, B. K. and Roth, L. K. 2000. Response of different cotton hybrid to insects pests under minimum plant protection schedule. Pest Manag. Econ. 8(1):65-70.
- Yunus, M., M. Yousuf and G. Gilani, 1980.Insect and spider mite pests of cotton in Pakistan.Final report P. L. 480 Project., Deptt.Ent. Univ. Agric., Faisalabad, pp. 256.
- Zeeshan A., Khan, T. M., and Noorka, I. R. 2010. Detail analysis to determine gene action for lint (%) and fiber traits in upland cotton. Int. J. Agri. Appl. Sci. 2(1):11-14.