International Journal of Advanced Research in Biological Sciences ISSN: 2348-8069 www.ijarbs.com

Coden: IJARQG(USA)

Volume 6, Issue 11 -2019

Research Article

DOI: 10.22192/ijarbs

2348-8069

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22192/ijarbs.2019.06.11.006

Variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance of Advanced Breeding Lines of Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) Under Mountain Environment of Nepal

Netra Hari Ghimire^{*1}, Paras Mani Mahat²

¹Grain legumes Research Program Khajura, Banke, ²Agriculture Research Station, Vijaynagar, Jumla, Nepal Agricultural Research Council Nepal *Corresponding author Email: *nhghimirenarc@gmail.com*

Abstract

A study was conducted at Agricultural Research Station (ARS), Vijayanagar, Jumla Nepal comprising ten advanced breeding lines of barley during regular season of high hill in 2016/17 in Randomized complete block design with three replications to observe genetic variability, correlation, heritability, genetic advance and clusturing of genotypes in relation to yield and yield associated traits and selection and advancement of early maturing, high yielding, disease resistant, and cold tolerance genotypes for high mountain area of Nepal. Analysis of variance revealed that characters days to heading, days to maturity, plant height and grain yield kg/ha were significant at (<0.01) and traits panicle length and thousands grain weight were significant at (<0.05) indicating presence of variation in genetic constituents. Higher broad sense heritability coupled with higher genetic advance as percent of mean was obtained in grain yield (61 and 29.78), high heritability and low genetic advance was obtained in days to maturity (63 and 3.56), low heritability and low genetic advance was obtained in panicle length (35 and 24.81). Panicle length (0.878**), thousands grain weight (0.651**) and plant height (0.433*) positively and significantly correlated with grain yield. Days to maturity and days to heading were strongly correlated (0.705**). Thousands grain weight correlated with days to maturity (0.679**), panicle length (0.561**) and days to heading (0.403*). Total four clusters were obtained in eighty percent euclidean similarity clusturing indicating genetic closeness/distances among the genotypes. Clusture 2 carries superior two genotypes ICB-90-0203-OAP-02-ok and Chauli Jau high yielder, this coincides with the mean separation.

Keywords: Barley, Clusturing, Correlation, Genetic advance, Heritability

Introduction

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) belongs to the family Poaceae, tribe Triticaeae and genus Hordeum. Cultivated barley is a self-pollinating diploid species (2n=2x=14) with a genome size of approximately 25.3 x 109 bp equivalent to 5.5pg DNA of a haploid nucleus (Bennett and Smith, 1976). It is one of the earliest domesticated crop (Zohary and Hopf, 1993). Globally, barley ranks fourth among cereal crops after wheat, maize and rice and is among the top ten crop plants in the world (Akar, et al., 2004).

Barley ranks in the fifth important food crop of Nepal. It is staple food for remote and food deficit mountainous area of Nepal, specially Karnali region and other high mountains. National statistics of Nepal indicates its wider range of cultivation from terai to mountain and total area 27310 ha with production 30510 mt and 1115 kg/ha productivity. Terai, hill and mountain shares 2.77, 41.78 and 55.45 percentage of area and 4.02, 44.19 and 51.78 percentage of production of nation respectively. Which shows it's main area is mountain, mid western mountain alone contributes 25.15% area and 29.81% production to the whole nation (MOAD, 2018). Jumla district, our research venue shares 13 and 18 percentage of area and production of the country.

Barley has significance in mountainous agro ecosystem because of it's short growing period, drought tolerant, low input requirement, and good stability of yield than wheat so better fit in the cropping system of mountainous agriculture. There are two cropping system in Jumla and similar climatic zone of mountain; Rice-Barley in low land and Bean-Wheat in upland but barley fits in both cropping pattern of Jumla. It has short cropping period than wheat so farmer can plant rice after it's harvest. In upland condition bean can be planted after harvesting of barley. It is used for animal feed, brewing malts, and human consumption (Brown, 1992 and Von Bothmer et al., 2003). Barley is used to prepare roti, satu, and baby foods at mountain area of Nepal. It is considered one of the nutritious food having rich in protein, crude fibre, minerals and vitamins. Despite of its importance, barley has been considered neglected and given less priority on its research and development activities in Nepal (Adhikari, et al., 2018).

The important factors for lower productivity of barley in Nepal are fewer option of improved varieties to the farmer, low soil fertility, drought condition, inadequate agronomic management and infestation of leaf rust disease.

Increased production per unit area is the primary objective in almost crop breeding programmes. Grain yield is the most important and complex characters with which barley breeders work. Grain yield in barley is the product of several interrelated traits; hence, a successful breeding programme depends largely upon the information on the genetic variability and association of desired quantitative traits with yield. Hence, consideration of quantitative approaches for exploitation of the genetic variability available in barley cultivars is of main importance (Necdet Akgun 2016). Estimates of genetic parameters serve as a base for selection and hybridization since degree of variability for a given character is a basic prerequisite for its improvement. The study of genotypic correlations of yield and yield related components is important to enhance selections of genotypes for improvement (Necdet Akgun 2016). Cluster analysis can be a good source to identify the variation in the germplasm and to classify based on similarity and dissimilarity index. This analysis is also useful for the selection of parents for the breeding program and crop modeling (El-Deeb and Mohamed, 1999; Jaynes et al., 2003).

Therefore, present study was conducted to identify a proper plant type for selection to improve the grain yield considering the inter relation between traits, variability, genetic advance, clusturing and heritability. Advancement of cold tolerance, early maturing, high yielding, disease resistant and drought tolerance barley genotypes for mountain environment of Nepal.

Materials and Methods

Ten advanced breeding lines of barley including one local check (chauli jau) and one released variety (Solu uwa) received from Hill Crops Research Program (HCRP), Kavre, Dolakha, Nepal were evaluated at Agricultural Research Station (ARS), Vijayanagar, Jumla during regular barley growing season of high hill (November, 2016 to June, 2017). Geographically ARS is situated at altitude of 2290 amsl and 29° 17' north latitude and 28^0 10' east longitudes of mid west Nepal (ARS, 2014). This station is located at high hill region and thus is characterized by cool temperate to alpine eco belts with low rainfall. Soil of this station as developed on recent to old river, moderately deep to very deep and moderately to poor drained. The topography is gently sloppy to rolling lying in the high mountain region. Surface soil and sub-surface soils are dominantly coarse textured (Sandy loam) and are acidic to moderately alkaline in reaction .The nitrogen content of the soil is generally very low to medium while available phosphorous is high to very high and available potassium is medium to high. The organic carbon content of farm soil is low to high. The average maximum and minimum temperature is about 25°C in June and 2^oC in February. There was no rainfall during October 15 to December 15, 2016. But total rainfall during barley growing period of 2016/2017 was satisfactory 244 mm with an average of 54 mm. (Meteology office, Jumla, 2017, Annex 1). List of genotypes and recorded parameters and their abbreviation are given in Table 1. The experiment was

sown on flat bed with recommended seed rate of 40 kg/ha, on November II^{nd} 2016 with three replications and RCBD design. Plot size was maintained 6 m² (3m x 2m) and spacing was given 25 cm between the rows and seeding done continuous on the line. Each plot

carries 8 lines of 3m length. Recommended dose of FYM and fertilizers (5 t FYM, 40:40:40 N P2O5 K2O kg/ha) were applied as basal dose during land preparation. List of genotypes and recorded parameters and their abbreviation are given in Table 1.

Voor	Month	Average tem	perature (°C)	Relative	Total Rainfall
Year	Ivionin	Maximum	Minimum	Humidity (%)	(mm)
	July-Aug	23.88	16.17	81.74	252.60
2072	Aug-Sep	25.05	14.72	77.10	102.70
	Sep-Oct	25.06	11.65	69.60	24.20
	Oct-Nov	21.41	0.74	57.08	0
2073 (Mid July2016)	Nov-Dec	20.72	-2.23	47.9	0
(wild July2010)	Dec-Jan	15.30	-3.96	55.46	9.70
	Jan-Feb	15.64	-2.56	58.05	24.00
	Feb-March	17.12	-0.97	54.73	53.60
	March-April	21.51	4.21	59.73	53.30
2074	Aril-May	24.34	7.90	62.05	42.80
(Mid June 2017)	May-June	25.42	10.93	65.71	60.60
	June-July	25.66	15.48	73.76	180.10
	Total				803.60
	Average	21.76	6.01	63.58	66.97

Annex 1: Weather data of research place Jumla 2016/17.

Source: Meterological Field Office, Jumla

Table 1	. List	of breed	ling lines	s and qu	antitative	traits o	f barley	used in	the study
			0						

Source	En	Genotypes	Traits	Abbreviation
Ú,	1	B86-099-2-1-0k	Days to 50% heading	DH
am AR	2	ICB-90-0203-OAP-02-ok	Days to 90% maturity	DM
0g1 1, N	3	ICB-90-0196-OAP-02-ok	Plant height (cm)	Pht
r Pr khë	4	NB-1003-37/1034	Thousands grain weight (g)	TGW
arch Dla	5	B90-K-007-2-2-0-ok	Panicle length (cm)	PL
ese.	6	LG-51	Grain yield kg per hac	Yld
Rav	7	NB-1003-37/903		
sdo	8	Coll # 112-14		
l cr CRI pal	9	Solu uwa (StdChe.)		
Hil Ne	10	Chauli Jau (Loc. Che.)		

Two boarder rows were excluded while recoding parameters days to heading, days to maturity, grain yield and thousands grain weight was taken on plot basis, plant height and panicle length recorded from randomly selected ten plants from inner lines of the plot. Thousands grain weight and grain yield was adjusted in 14% moisture content. Analysis of variance done by using Genstat 18th edition, correlation was computed by using statistical software SPSS at significance level of (0.05), mean separation among the lines was done with statistical software ADEL-R and clusuring of the genotypes was done with statistical software MINITAB. The phenotypic and genotypic variances were derived according to Falconer (1964) and broad sense heritability was calculated according to Allard (1960).

Estimation and categorization of genetic advance was done according to Johnson et al. (1955). The Genetic advance as percent of mean (% mean GA) were classified as low, moderate, and high according to Robinson et al.(1949) as follows: low <10%, moderate 10–20%, and high >20%. The broad sense heritability values were ranked according to Robinson et al. (1949) as follows: low <30%, moderate 30–60%, and high >60%.

Results and Discussion

Analysis of variance

Analysis of variance revealed that characters days to heading, days to maturity, plant height and grain yield kg/ha were significant at (<0.01) and traits panicle length and thousands grain weight were significant at (<0.05) (Table 2). The result showed presence of variation in genetic constituent among the genotypes for the concerned traits. Breeder can utilize the variability for selection and may use in hybridization for trait improvement, gene transfer to the other genotypes. Significant difference on barley yield and yield attributing traits were also reported by (Yadav, et al 2018 and Necdet Akgun 2016). Addisu and Shumet, 2015 also reported similar result all traits resembled significant variation in barley.

SN	Traits	Rep	Treat	Error	Fcal	CV	P value
1	DH	2.53	16.50	3.90	4.20	1.37	**
2	DM	1.03	36.00	5.92	6.08	1.33	**
3	Pht	61.09	36.84	23.32	1.58	5.41	**
4	PL	1.00	3.16	1.21	2.61	16.45	*
5	TGW	3.33	35.89	11.19	3.21	10.78	*
6	Yld	92079.43	642900.89	114702.91	5.60	11.67	**

Table 2. Analysis of variance for the quantitative character of barley genotypes

Note; DH: Days to heading, DM: Days to 90% maturity, Pht: Plant height (cm), PL: Panicle length (cm), TGW: Thousands grain weight (gm) and Yld: Grain yield (kg/ha)

Mean separation and Variability

Mean separation of 10 wheat genotypes for six yield and yield attributing character is presented in Table 3 and Table 4. Barley genotypes exhibited considerable variation for all the traits. Panicle length resembled highest variability followed by grain yield and followed by thousand grain weight. Lowest variability was observed by days to maturity, then days to heading and plant height. The mean value of days to heading is 144.07 and it ranges from 141 to 148. Variety Solu uwa found earlier for days to heading and days to maturity (141 and 178). While genotype Coll # 112-14 was late for days to heading and days to maturity (148 and 188.3). Genotype NB-1003-37/1034 was dwarfest (85 cm) and B86-099-2-1-0k was tallest (94 cm). Longest panicle and highest grain yield was obtained by genotype ICB-90-0203-OAP-02-ok (8.9 cm and 3462.7 kg/ha) and shortest panicle and lowest grain yield was found in genotype LG-51(5.4 cm and 2226.3 kg/ha). Highest thousands grain weight was obtained in genotype ICB-90-0196-OAP-02-ok (35 gm) and lowest in Solu uwa (22.67 gm). Local check Chauli jau (3436 kg/ha) was statistically at par with highest yielder ICB-90-0203-OAP-02-ok and mean of yield was 2902.43 kg/ha.

Int. J. Adv. Res. Biol. Sci. (2019). 6(11): 34-42

Table 3. Mean performance and LSD vale of the quantitative traits of barley at high hill of Nepal in 2016-2017

EN	Genotypes	DH	DM	Pht	PL	TGW	Yld
1	B86-099-2-1-0k	143.7 bc	185.7 ^{abc}	94.3 ^a	6.80 ^{bc}	32.67 ^{ab}	3250.3 ^a
2	ICB-90-0203-OAP-02-ok	144.3 bc	184.7 ^{abc}	85.6 ^{bc}	8.90 ^a	32.00 ^{ab}	3462.7 ^a
3	ICB-90-0196-OAP-02-ok	143.0 ^{bc}	186.7 ^{ab}	92.2 abc	7.07 ^{abc}	35.00 ^a	3167.0 ^{ab}
4	NB-1003-37/1034	148.0 ^a	185.0 ^{abc}	84.9 ^c	5.63 °	28.67 ^b	2178.0 ^c
5	B90-K-007-2-2-0-ok	142.7 ^{bc}	179.3 ^{de}	87.7 ^{abc}	5.97^{bc}	31.33 ^{ab}	2653.3 ^{bc}
6	LG-51	143.0 ^{bc}	184.0 ^{bc}	87.1 abc	5.47 °	31.33 ^{ab}	2226.3 °
7	NB-1003-37/903	145.0 ^{ab}	182.3 ^{cd}	93.0 ^{abc}	6.43 ^{bc}	30.00 ^{ab}	2927.7 ^{ab}
8	Coll # 112-14	148.0^{a}	188.3 ^a	87.1 ^{abc}	6.63 ^{bc}	34.00 ^{ab}	3075.0 ^{ab}
9	Solu uwa(StdChe.)	141.3 °	178.0 ^e	93.2 ^{ab}	6.30 ^{bc}	22.67 °	2648.0 bc
10	Chauli Jau (Loc. Che.)	141.7 ^{bc}	179.3 ^{de}	$87.4^{\text{ abc}}$	7.73 ^{ab}	32.67 ^{ab}	3436.0 ^a
Mean		144.07	183.3	89.25	6.69	31.03	2902.43
StdMSE		1.98	2.43	4.83	1.10	3.34	338.68
LSD		3.39	4.17	8.28	1.89	5.74	580.97

Note; DH: Days to heading, DM: Days to 90% maturity, Pht: Plant height (cm), PL: Panicle length (cm), TGW: Thousands grain weight (gm) and Yld: Grain yield (kg/ha)

Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance

Heritability, phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) and genetic advance at 5% selection intensity as percent of mean for quantitative traits of barley genotypes is given in Table 4. Our result showed PCV is higher than GCV for all traits. Higher the difference between PCV and GCV indicates higher influence of environment for the expression of traits. Higher broad sense heritability coupled with higher genetic advance as percent of mean was obtained in grain yield (61 and 29.78), high heritability and low % mean genetic advance was obtained in days to maturity (63 and 3.56), low heritability and low % mean genetic advance was obtained in plant height (16 and 4.9), medium heritability and high % mean genetic advance was obtained in panicle length (35 and 24.81). Thousands grain weight showed medium heritability and medium genetic advance as percent of mean (0.42 and 19.05) Table 4. Low heritability <20% and low % mean GA <10% was reported for plant height by (Hailu, et al., 2016) which supports our result. Hailu, et al., 2016 also support our other findings high broad sense heritability >60% for days to maturity, high genetic advance >20% for grain yield and panicle length in barley. Similarly, Yadav et al., 2018 reported medium heritability for thousands grain weight similar to our findings. Higher GCV, PCV and heritability was also reported by Jalata et al., 2011 in support to us. Addisu and Shumet, 2015 also reported similar

result high heritability for grain yield and days to maturity.

Coefficients of variation are very helpful in the estimation of the magnitude of variability which is present within a population. Low estimates for the coefficient of variation suggest lack of genetic variation among the traits in question while high values of coincident of variation indicates that selection is effective for that particular trait because of the wider genetic variability. The success of selecting genotypes with high potential in plant breeding program depends on the extent and nature of phenotypic and genotypic variability in several agronomic traits of the population. The prerequisite for coming up with a reliable breeding programme for improvement of a specific attribute in any crop could be a study on the genetic parameters, viz., variation, inheritance, and genetic advance (Atta et al., 2008). If the value of genetic advance as percent of mean is

high coupled with broad sense heritability such character may be governed by additive genes and selection may be beneficial for such traits. If Genetic advance is low the character may be governed by non additive genes and heterosis breeding may be useful. Genetic advance as percent mean ranged from 2.93% for days to heading to 29.78% for grain yield indicating that selecting the top 5% of the genotypes could result in an improvement range of 2.93% for days to maturity to 29.78% for grain yield. Similar finding, high heritability with high genetic advance for grain yield was also reported by Yadav, et al., 2019. Adhikari et al. (2018), Singh and Upadhyay (2013), in wheat. Low genetic advance for days to maturity were reported by Adhikari et al. (2018) and Kumar et al. (2017).

Table 4. Genetic parameters for six quantitative traits of barley tested at high hill of Nepal in 2016/17

Traits	Range	Mean	Std	Vg	Vp	Н	GCV	PCV	GA	%mean GA
DFF	141-148	144.07	2.3	4.2	8.10	0.52	1.42	1.98	4.22	2.93
DM	178-188	183.33	3.5	10.026	15.95	0.63	1.73	2.18	6.52	3.56
Pht	85-94	89.25	3.5	4.5041	27.83	0.16	2.38	5.91	4.37	4.90
PL	5.4-8.9	6.69	1.0	0.6498	1.86	0.35	12.04	20.39	1.66	24.81
TGW	22.6-35	31.03	3.5	8.2333	19.42	0.42	9.25	14.20	5.91	19.05
Yld	2178-3463	2902.43	462.9	176066	290768.91	0.61	14.46	18.58	864.38	29.78

Note; DH: Days to heading, DM: Days to 90% maturity, Pht: Plant height (cm), PL: Panicle length (cm), TGW: Thousands grain weight (gm) and Yld: Grain yield (kg/ha)

Clusturing

Dendrogram generated based on UPGMA clustering method and Euclidean similarity coefficient among ten barley genotypes is given in table 5 and figure 1. Clusturing was done with UPGMA clustering method with 80% eucdian similarity coefficient and four clustures were generated. Clusture 1 contains 4 genotypes (40%) out of total 10 genotypes. This clusture carries slightly higher value for all the traits than grand centroid. Similarly, two genotypes ICB- 90-0203-OAP-02-ok and Chauli jou were grouped in clusture 2. This clusture is superior among all clustures carries higher values for grain yield, panicle length and thousands grain weight but smaller values for days to heading, days to maturity and plant height than total centroid. Clusture 3 contains two genotypes LG-51 and NB-1003-37/1034 lowest yielder and lower panicle length and lower other values. Clusture 4 carries two genotypes B90-K-007-2-2-0-ok and Soluuwa inferior than grand centroid.

Variable	Cluster1	Cluster2	Cluster3	Cluster4	Grand centroid
DH	144.93	143	145.5	142	144.07
DM	185.75	182	184.5	178.65	183.33
Pht	91.65	86.5	86	90.45	89.25
PL	6.73	8.32	5.55	6.14	6.69
TGW	32.92	32.34	30	27	31.03
Yld	3105	3449.35	2202.15	2650.65	2902.43

Table 5: Clusturing of 10 genotypes of barley

Note; DH: Days to heading, DM: Days to 90% maturity, Pht: Plant height (cm), PL: Panicle length (cm), TGW: Thousands grain weight (gm) and Yld: Grain yield (kg/ha)

Int. J. Adv. Res. Biol. Sci. (2019). 6(11): 34-42



Figure 1: Dendrogram of barley genotypes based on six quantitative characters

Correlation

Correlation is very important tool in plant breeding because we can use indirect selection to improve another targeted parameter. There are positive and negative effect in correlation which results in simultaneous changes in related character. Phenotypic correlation between six parameters of 10 genotypes of barley is given in table 6. Panicle length (0.878**), thousands grain weight (0.651**) and plant height (0.433*) positively and significantly correlated with grain yield. Days to maturity and days to heading were strongly correlated (0.705**). These parameter contribute higher yield. Thousands grain weight correlated with days to maturity (0.679**), panicle length (0.561**) and days to heading (0.403*). Panicle length showed positive association with plant height

(0.397*) and thousands grain weight and days to heading also has positive correlation (0.403^*) . Adhikari et al., 2018 reported similar finding positive correlation of grain yield with spike length (0.46^{**}) . plant height (0.26*) and thousand grain weight (0.25*). Singh et al. 2014, reported significantly positive association of spike length, plant height and thousand grain weights on barley grain yield. Al-Tabbal and Al-Fraihat, 2012 also reported result to our findings plant height, thousand grain weight significantly correlated with grain yield. Significant positive correlation between days to flowering and maturity were reported by Lodhi et al., 2015 and Mohtashami 2015. Breeder can select barley genotypes indirectly having longer panicle, more thousands grain weight and taller plant for grain yield increament.

	DH	DM	Pht	PL	TGW
DM	0.705**				
Pht	0.131	0.226			
PL	0.228	0.341	0.397*		
TGW	0.403*	0.679**	0.274	0.561**	
Yld	0.093	0.299	0.433*	0.878**	0.615**

Table 6: Phenotypic correlation coefficient between six parameters of barley

Note; DH: Days to heading, DM: Days to 90% maturity, Pht: Plant height (cm), PL: Panicle length (cm), TGW: Thousands grain weight (gm) and Yld: Grain yield (kg/ha)

Conclusion

Obtained results indicates presence of sufficient genetic variability for the studied traits showing genotypes are suitable for breeding purpose. Result resembled high heritability coupled with high genetic advance as percent of mean for grain yield, medium heritability and high genetic advance as percent of mean was found in panicle length and Thousand grain weight also have more GA so these traits ; grain yield, panicle length and TGW may be governed by additive selection of these traits would be more gene. effective for genetic improvement for grain yield of barley. Mean separation and clusturing showed barley genotypes ICB-90-0203-OAP-02-ok and Chauli Jau are superior among the tested genotypes can be selected for registration and/or recommendation for general cultivation at high hill region of Nepal. Parameters plant height, panicle length and thousands grain weight contribute for higher grain yield. We can select plants having longer panicle and higher grain weight for higher grain yield of barley.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Hill Crops Research Program Kavre for providing genetic materials and We would like to thank technical assistant staffs of Agricultural Research Station Vijayanagar, Jumla for their support on conducting experiment on the field.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflicts of interest regarding publication of this manuscript.

Author's contribution

N.H. Ghimire: Designed and performed experiment, analyzed data and wrote the paper P.M. Mahat: Performed experiment, data recorded

References

- Addisu, F. and Shumet, T. 2015. Variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance for Some Yield and Yield Related Traits in Barley (*Hordeum* vulgare L.) Landraces in Ethiopia. International Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics, 9: 68-76.
- Adhikari, B. N., Joshi, B. P. Shrestha, J. and Bhatta, N. R. 2018. Genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance and trait association study for yield and yield components in advanced breeding lines of wheat. Nepalese Journal of Agricultural Sciences,

2018, vol. 17 ISSN 2091- 042X; e ISSN 2091- 0428

- Adhikari, B. N., Joshi, B. P. Shrestha, J. and Joshi, Y. K. 2018. Agronomic Performance and Correlation Study in Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L) Genotypes. Sch. J. Agric. Vet. Sci., Dec, 2018; 5(12): 658-663.
- Akar, T., Avci, M. and Dusunceli, M. F. 2004. Barley: Post-harvest Operations. The Central Research Institute for Field Crops. Ulus, Ankara, Turkey.
- Al-Tabbal J. A., Al-Fraihat, A. H. 2012. Genetic Variation, Heritability, Phenotypic and Genotypic Correlation Studies for Yield and Yield Components in Promising Barley Genotypes. Journal of Agriculture Science. 2012; 4:193-210.
- ARS (Agricultural Research Station), Jumla. 2014. Annual Report 2070/71. Page 64.
- Asfaw, A., Ambachew, D. Shah,T. and Blair,M. W. 2017. "Trait associations in diversity panels of the two common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) gene pools grown under well-watered andwater-stress conditions, "Frontiers in Plant Science,vol.8, p. 733, 2017.
- Atta, B. M., Haq, M. A. and Shah, T. M. 2008. "Variation and interrelationships of quantitative traits in chickpea (Cicer aurantium L.)," Pakistan Journal of Botany, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 637–647, 2008.
- Bennett, M. D., Smith, J. B. 1976. Nuclear DNA amounts in angiosperms. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Series B. Biological Sciences. 1976; 274:227-274.
- Brown, A. H. D. 1992. Genetic variation and resources in cultivated barley and wild Hordeum.
 In: Proc 6th Barley Genet Symp. Munksgaard International Publishers Ltd, Helsingborg, Sweden, Munck L (ed) Barley Genetics. 1992; 6(2):669-682.
- El-Deeb, A. A. and Mohamed, N. A. 1999. Factor and cluster analysis for some quantitative characters in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Ann. Conf. ISSR, Cairo Univ. 34.
- Hailu, A., Alamerew, S. Nigussie, M. Assefa, E. and Abdul, K. K. A. 2016. Genetic Variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance for Yield and Yield Related Traits in Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) Germplasm. Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research 24 (2): 450-458, 2016 ISSN 1990-9233.
- Jalata, Z., Aayana, A. and Zeleke, H. 2011. Variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance for some yield and yield related traits in Ethiopian Barley (*Hardeum Vulgare* L.) Landraces and crosses. International Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics, 5:44-52.
- Jaynes, D.B., Kaspar, T. C. Colvin, T. S. and James, D. E. 2003. Cluster analysis of spatiotemporal corn

yield patterns in an iowa field. Agron. J. 95. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2003.0574.

- Kumar, J., Kumar, M. Singh, S. K. and Singh, L. 2017. Estimation of genetic variability and heritability in bread wheat under abiotic stress. Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 5 (1) : 156-63 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.2475
- Lodhi, R., Prasad, L. C. Madakemohekar, A. H. Bornare, S. S. Prasad, R. 2015. Study of Genetic parameters for yield and yield contributing trait of elite genotypes of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L) Indian Res. J. Genet. & Biotech. 2015; 7(1): 17-21. Meteology office, Jumla, 2017.
- MOAD. 2018. Statistical Information on Nepalese Agriculture, 2073/74 (2016/17). Government of Nepal. Ministry of Agricultural Development, Monitoring, evaluation and statistics division, Agri-statistics section: Singh durbar, Kathmandu Nepal.
- Mohtashami, R. 2015. The correlation study of important barley agronomic traits and grain yield by path analysis. Biological Forum. 2015; 7(1):1211-1219.
- Necdet Akgun 2016. Genetic Variability and Correlation Studies in Yield and Yield Related Characters of Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) Genotypes. Selcuk J Agr Food Sci, 30(2): 88-95.
- Robinson, H. F. Comstock, R. E. and Harvey, P.H. 1949. "Estimates of heritability and the degree of dominance in Corn1," Agronomy Journal, vol. 41, no. 8, pp. 353–359, 1949.
- Shukla, S., Bhargava, A. Chatterjee, A. Srivastava, A. and Singh, S. P. 2006. "Genotypic variability in vegetable amaranth (*Amaranthus tricolor* L.) for

foliage yield and its contributing traits over successive cuttings and years," Euphytica, vol.151, no. 1, pp. 103–110, 2006.

- Singh, B. and Upadhyay, P. K. 2013. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). International Journal of Plant Sciences 8 (2) : 230-35.
- Singh, J., Prasad, L. C. Madakemohekar, A. H. Bornare, S. S. 2014. Genetic variability and character association in diverse genotypes of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). The Bioscan. 2014; 9(2):759-761.
- Singh, S. R. J., Yadav, H. S. Singh, S. M. 2003. Assessment of yield contributing characters in rainfed barley. Advances in Plant Sci. 2003; 16:325-327.
- Yadav, H. C., Singh, S. K. Gupta, P.K. Yadav, P. C. and Chaurasiya, J. P. 2018. Studies on path coefficient analysis and genetic divergence in feed barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2018; 7(2): 613-616.
- Yadav, K., Maurya, K. N. Shrivastava, S. P. Lal, V. S. K. Maurya, S. K. and Yadav, H. 2019. Assessment of genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient for yield and its contributing traits in exotic and indigenous barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). International Journal of Chemical Studies 2019; 7(2): 1584-158.
- Zohary, D. and Hopf, M. 1993. Domestication of plants in the old World - The origin and spread of cultivated plants in West Asia, Europe, and the Nile Valley. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1993.

Access this Article in Online							
	Website: www.ijarbs.com						
	Subject: Agriculture						
Quick Response Code							
DOI:10.22192/ijarbs.2019.06.11.006							

How to cite this article:

Netra Hari Ghimire, Paras Mani Mahat. (2019). Variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance of Advanced Breeding Lines of Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) Under Mountain Environment of Nepal. Int. J. Adv. Res. Biol. Sci. 6(11): 34-42.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22192/ijarbs.2019.06.11.006