
International Journal of Advanced Research in Biological Sciences

ISSN: 2348-8069

www.ijarbs.com

DOI: 10.22192/ijarbs

Coden: IJARQG(USA)

Volume 7, Issue 4 -2020

Research Article



DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22192/ijarbs.2020.07.04.006>

Impact of improved rice varieties' adoption on sustainable rice productivity among farmers in Southwestern Nigeria

^a Adeyemi, A. A. (Ph.D), Omotara O. A. (Ph.D) , Adeyemo A. A. and
^b Oludele A. S.

^a Department of Agricultural Education, School of Vocational and Technical Education
Osun State College of Education, Ila-Orangun
E-mail: joytunde@gmail.com

^b Department of Home Economics, School of Vocational and Technical Education
Osun State College of Education, Ila-Orangun

Abstract

The study specifically determined the factors influencing farmers' adoption of the varieties and analysed the impact of improved rice variety adoption on farmers' productivity in the study area. These were done with a view to investigating how adoption of improved rice varieties affects farmers' productivity and efficiency in Southwestern Nigeria. A multi-stage sampling procedure was employed to select respondents. In the first stage, purposive sampling technique was used to select three States (Ekiti, Ogun and Osun) based on their prominence in rice production in Southwestern Nigeria. In the second stage, four Local Government Areas (LGAs) per State and three villages per LGA were purposively selected. In the third stage, stratified sampling was used to categorize rice farm youth into adopters and non-adopters of improved rice varieties, and five farm youth were randomly selected in each stratum to give a total of three hundred and sixty rice farmers for the study. Data were collected with the use of a pre-tested structured questionnaire on farmers' socioeconomic characteristics, farm characteristics, adoption status, improved rice varieties, quantities and prices of inputs and output. Data were analysed using inferential statistics. Tobit regression estimates showed that farmer's age, net farm income, farm size and availability of improved rice varieties significantly influenced adoption behaviour of improved rice varieties. Results of the Propensity Score Matching showed a significant positive impact of (267.34 kg/ha) on rice productivity while instrumental variable regression showed an impact of 338.29 kg/ha. It was concluded that adoption of these varieties significantly improved rice productivity in the study area.

Keywords: Improved Rice Varieties, Adoption, Productivity Impact

Introduction

Huge rice importation bills of ₦365 billion arising from the widening gap between annual demand and supply of the commodity has been attributed to low productivity of about 1.15 tonnes per hectare compared to over 5.26 tonnes per hectare in other countries of the world such as China, India, Indonesia and Vietnam. This has been attributed to inappropriate use of improved technologies, inappropriate crop and land management practices, farmers' socioeconomic factors, gender differentials in the accessibility to farm resources and in particular, the inefficient use of production resources (Nsoanya, 2011). In an attempt to address these problems, IITA (2010), Gingiyu (2012) and Awotide (2012) had recommended farmers' adoption and use of intensification technologies such as fertilizers, improved agronomic practices and improved seed varieties for increased yield, increased returns and improved standard of living of the farmers.

The impact on the lives of resource poor farmers is believed to be the most functional benefit of agricultural technologies, policies and programmes and also the preoccupation of the stakeholders. However, the mixed results of development assistance has generated a lot of questions of whether and by how much development assistance contributes to economic growth and poverty reduction in recipients (Rajan and Subramanian, 2010). Increasingly, therefore the development community, including donors, non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and governments are looking for more hard evidence on impacts of public programmes aimed at reducing poverty. Hence, impact assessment has received a considerable attention in recent years.

With the dissemination of different improved rice varieties to farmers in different agroecological zones in Nigeria, Saka and Lawal (2013) submitted that farmers had responded appreciably to intervention programmes that promote the use of improved rice varieties for enhanced productivity and poverty reduction. However, Most of the available studies did not establish an adequate counterfactual situation to estimate the effects of improved rice variety adoption on productivity. This study employed improved methodological techniques to determine these effects. In addition, majority of impact studies on adoption focused on New Rice for Africa (NERICA) and its observed impact cannot be generalized to the entire improved rice varieties. Other improved rice varieties

disseminated to farmers in Southwestern Nigeria include FARO 44, FARO 45, FARO 50, ITA 150, ITA 235, ITA 257, ITA 360, WITA 1, WITA 4, WITA 12 and WITA 189 (FMANR, 2010).

It therefore becomes imperative to assess the productivity effects of these improved rice varieties. This arouses some research questions of concern such as: What factors determine the decisions to adopt yield-increasing technologies such as improved rice varieties? How does the adoption of improved rice varieties impact on the productivity of the adopting farmers? Proffering solutions to these questions will assist policy makers in redesigning and managing technology acquisition and adoption programmes more effectively for enhanced rice production by farmers.

Objectives of the Study

The main objective of the study is to empirically evaluate the effects of improved rice varieties adoption on farmers' productivity in Southwestern Nigeria.

The specific objectives are to

- (i) determine the factors influencing farmers adoption of improved rice varieties;
- (ii) analyse the impact of improved rice variety adoption on farmers' productivity in the study area.

Research Hypotheses

The hypotheses tested are stated in the null form as:

- (i) H_{01} : Farmers adoption decisions on improved rice varieties are not influenced by farmer-, resource-, and institutional- specific characteristics;
- (ii) H_{02} : Adoption of improved rice varieties does not impact on the productivity of farm youths'

Research Methodology

Area of Study

The study was carried out in the Southwestern geopolitical zone of Nigeria. Southwestern Nigeria lies between longitude $2^{\circ} 42'$ and $6^{\circ} 03'$ East of Greenwich and latitude $5^{\circ} 49'$ and $9^{\circ} 17'$ North of the equator (Balogun, 2003). The Southwest comprises Osun, Ogun, Ondo, Ekiti, Oyo, and Lagos States. Three states were selected (Ekiti, Ogun and Osun) based on their prominence in rice production.

The study area enjoys a bi-modal rainy season which lasts from April to October and a dry season from December to March (mean annual rainfall of 135mm and mean daily temperature of 35°C (BBC Weather Centre, 2008). The total population of the six states according to the 2006 National Census is 27,722,427 (NPC, 2007), while the total land mass of the study is 67,174.6 km². Majority of the inhabitants are predominantly small holder farmers who depend on agriculture for their livelihood. The prevailing vegetation, soil, and weather conditions determine the type of crops grown in different areas of southwest Nigeria and plantain. Rice is however becoming an important food crop in the area. There are rice processing industries in the study area. The people live mostly in organized settlements, towns and cities.

Sampling Procedure

A multi-stage sampling procedure was employed for the selection of respondents for the study. In the first stage, purposive sampling technique was used to select three states Ekiti, Ogun and Osun states based on their predominance in rice production in Southwestern, Nigeria. In the second stage, four LGAs per state and three villages per LGA were purposively selected. In the third stage, a list of adopting farmers was obtained from the Agricultural Development Programme Office (ADPO) in each village. Five adopters and five non-adopters of improved rice varieties were randomly selected in each village to give a total of three hundred and sixty (360) rice farmers for the study.

Sources of Data and Data Collection Methods

Primary data were collected from the rice farmers in 2018 with the assistance of extension agents who are familiar with the farmers using a pre-tested questionnaire. The information collected include farmers' characteristics such as age, gender, educational level, marital status, household size, membership of cooperative society, extension contact, years of farming experience and fallow. Information on adoption status and use of improved rice varieties, their availability and sources as well as size of farmers' rice plots, farm labour force, cropping practice and tenure arrangements were also obtained.

Impact Assessment Techniques: The following techniques were used to analyse the impact of improved rice variety on productivity of rice farm

youth. These are the Tobit, Propensity Score Matching and Instrumental variable regression.

Tobit Regression Model

Tobit model was employed to determine the factors influencing improved rice variety adoption

$$Y_i^* = \beta X_i + \mu_i$$

This can be represented algebraically for the *i*th farmer as:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \dots + \beta_N X_{Ni} \dots; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

Such that

$$Y_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } Y_i^* \leq T \\ Y_i & \text{if } 0 < Y_i^* < T \\ 1 & \text{if } Y_i^* \geq T \end{cases} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

Where,

Y_i = observed dependent variable: the share of total rice area of *i*th farmer under improved rice varieties.

Y_i^* = non-observable latent variable representing the continuous dependent variable

When positive decision occurs for the use of the technology (e.g. improved rice varieties).

T = non-observable threshold (cut-off) point.

N = number of observations.

Description and Measurement of Variables

Tobit regression analysis was performed on primary data in the study area to determine the type of relationship existing between specific explanatory variables and farmer's adoption behaviour of improved rice varieties using STATA 10.0 software package. In the Tobit model, data on the dependent variable can be classified into two groups. One portion of the data, the non-adopters equal to limit usually zero and the other portion, the adopters, is above the limit to be estimated.

(i) The dependent variable (Y_i): This is a continuous and discrete variable for the *i*th farmer. The continuous part was measured by the share of total rice area of a farmer under improved rice varieties in hectare; while the discrete part takes on a value of either zero or one. A farmer is scored one if he adopts the technology, and zero if otherwise. It is hypothesized that this decision is influenced by the independent variables.

(ii) The independent variables: These include all those variables that are associated with the adoption of improved rice varieties along with those whose evidences from previous studies have been inconsistent. They include farmers' characteristics, resource/technology characteristics and institutional characteristics.

Farmers' Characteristics

Farmers' Age (X_1): - This is the age of the i th farmer measured in years. Age has been included in the model as evidence from previous studies shows that the age of an individual affects his mental attitude to new ideas and may influence adoption in one of several ways. For instance, as the farmer ages, it is expected that his willingness to embrace new ideas would diminish. Younger farmers have been found to be more knowledgeable to new practices (Ogundari and Ojo, 2007; Ayanwale and Amusan, 2012); more receptive and adaptable to new technological innovations and may be more willing to bear risk and adopt a technology (Gould *et al.*, 1989; Spencer, 2004). The older the farmer, the less likely he adopts new ideas as he tends to be more conservative by gaining more confidence in his old ways/methods as newly introduced technology usually comes with additional cost (Ajibefun *et al.*, 2010; Hossain, 2004)

Gender of Farmer (X_2): - Women farmers are generally perceived to face more constraints on their farms and this will negatively affect their adoption of new ideas. This variable is expected to have a negative sign on the dependent variable. Male farmers are scored 1, while female farmers score zero (Ajibefun *et al.*, 2010).

The adoption of improved technology is a managerial concern that requires some managerial skills. Such skills are often gained through education (Manyong *et al.*, 2004; Ayanwale and Amusan, 2012). Also, education reduces the level of ignorance of an individual by improving his ability to decode, understand and process information and therefore is a measure of the ability to assess new technology (World Bank, 2009). Adesina *et al.* (1998), posited that education and experience are two common measures of human capital (the ability to acquire and process information about a new technology) which may be used as proxies for risk. It is therefore expected to have a positive impact on the decision to use improved rice varieties. Uncertainty and risk aversion have been shown to decrease the propensity

for individual to adopt technologies (Feder *et al.*, 1985;). However, while measuring an individual's risk perceptions and risk aversion is difficult, economic theory posits that their perceptions are influenced by information and human capital. Thus, following earlier empirical findings, the maintained *a priori* expectation is that level of literacy is positively related to adoption behaviour. It is measured as number of years of schooling.

Household Size (X_4): - This is defined as the total number of people living with the farmer family unit. That is, it comprises all the people living under the same roof and who eat from the same pot with the i th farmer. This variable is brought into the model because it plays an important role in determining what occurs on the farm. Some previous studies show this variable is positively related to adoption behaviour as it provides a larger supply of family labour while other studies viewed that this variable has a negative relationship with adoption since increased household size increases consumption pressure. Thus, it is difficult to predict this variable 'a priori'. Hence, household size and adoption will depend on the balance of the opposing forces of family demand (Nsoanya *et al.*, 2011; Matsumoto *et al.*, 2013). The variable was measured by the number of persons in the household.

Net Farm Income (X_5): - This is the net farm income per hectare of the farm. Since this variable can be viewed as a proxy for wealth, the options to acquire and use technologies may be expanded by it (Kinkingninoun, 2010). It is included to determine whether the potential adopters' social status and purchasing power have an effect on technology use. This is because wealthy farmers have sufficient resources to absorb the cost and risk of failure of the innovation. The variable is expected to have a positive relationship with adoption as the farmer tends to experiment with new ideas that tend to increase net farm income. This variable was measured in naira.

Off-Farm Income (X_6): - Off-farm income is measured as the total amount of income earned from external off-farm sources during the season. Income from these sources is relevant since they enable the farmer to undertake new agricultural practices. Off-farm-income can also help to overcome a working capital constraint or may actually support the purchase of some fixed-investment type of innovation (Okoruwa, 2014). It is therefore postulated that the coefficient of this variable will be positively correlated with the farmer's adoption behaviour.

Cropping Practice (X₇): - This variable is expected to have a positive relationship with adoption behaviour. This is because the cropping system employed by a farmer many suggest the need for use of some technologies (Diewart and Nakamura, 2005; Ogada, 2014). For example, sole cropping is considered suitable for easy use of machinery (e.g. tractors) than mixed cropping. This variable was measured as dichotomous variable with sole cropping scored 1 and mixed cropping, 0.

Labour Force (X₈): - This is defined as the number of 'man-equivalents' of people working on the farm. New technologies may increase the seasonal demand for labour, in which case adoption may be less attractive for those operating in areas with less access to labour markets (Nsoanya *et al.*, 2011). This variable is therefore expected to have a positive influence on adoption behaviour.

Technology Characteristics;

Total Rice Farm Size (X₉) - This is the hectareage of the farm planted to improved/local rice. This variable is expected to have a positive relationship with improved technology adoption decision as shown by various studies (Nelson and Batie, 1987; Akinola, 1987; Polson and Spencer, 1991; Okoruwa, 2014). This is because, the larger the rice farm size cultivated, the higher the tendency to adopt new technological innovations such improved rice varieties. The variable was measured in hectares.

Availability of Improved Rice Varieties (X₁₀): - The adoption of a technology is promoted by its availability since it is obvious that the technology will not be used unless made available in the right quantity, form and time (Adekoya and Babaleye, 2007; Okoruwa, 2014). This variable will determine whether adoption behaviour of the potential adopter is supply-constrained. It was measured as a dichotomous variable with adequate technology supply attracting one and inadequate supply, zero. The variable is hypothesized to have a positive sign.

Processing Facilities (X₁₁): - This is the possession of formal Processing facilities. This variable was measured as a dichotomous variable with possession of processing facilities awarded one and non-possession, zero.

Distance of Improved Rice Varieties' Source from Farm (X₁₂): - Most farmers that adopt new innovations do so because of the proximity of the innovation distribution source. Thus, the response of potential adopters will depend upon the costs associated with acquiring the technology. These costs include transportation and risk costs which increase as the distance travelled by the farmer to purchase the new technological innovation increases. The greater the distance between the input buying station and the respondents' farm, the higher the acquisition cost (Pandey *et al.*, 2010). The variable is therefore expected to have a negative influence on farmers' adoption behaviour. It was measured in kilometres (km).

Institutional Access:

Membership in Association/Cooperative Society (X₁₃): Cooperatives enhance the interaction and cross-fertilization of ideas among farmers. The influence of credit for instance, on improved rice varieties' use is measured in terms of membership in cooperatives as its use is promoted by cooperatives. If a farmer is a member of a cooperative, credit and new technological innovations such as improved rice varieties are provided to him as a package. Thus, membership in a cooperative is very important in the adoption of a technology since it indicates higher socio-economic status (Dawe *et al.*, 2010). Having access to other sources of credit may not have much effect on the purchase of improved rice varieties because a farmer may not know where to buy them. A positive sign is hypothesized for this variable. It was measured as a dichotomous variable with respondents' membership attracting one and non-membership, zero.

Extension Contact (X₁₄): - This variable incorporates the information which farmers obtain during the year on the importance and application of new technological innovations through counseling and demonstrations by extension agents on a regular basis. The impact of this information on adoption decisions vary, however according to its channel, sources, content, motivation and frequency (Lee, 2008; Rajan, 2012). Thus, based on the innovation-diffusion literature, the expected sign for the coefficient of this variable is positive. It is measured as a dichotomous variable with respondents contact during the period recorded as one, and zero otherwise.

Propensity Score Matching Method (PSM): The propensity score matching method was used to analyse the impact of improved rice variety adoption on rice productivity and efficiency of production by the farming households. Similar studies such as Awotide (2012) have used propensity score matching to evaluate productivity impact of technology adoption. Propensity score matching (PSM) method is a quasi-experimental approach that controls for the self-selection that normally arises when technology adoption is not randomly assigned and self-selection into adoption occurs.

However, in quasi-experimental approaches, adoption is not randomly distributed to the two groups of the households, but rather the household itself deciding to adopt given the information it has. The main parameter of interest in a non-experimental framework is the average treatment effect for the treated population (ATT), expressed as:

$$ATT = E(Y_1 - Y_0 \mid D = 1) = E(Y_1 \mid D = 1) - E(Y_0 \mid D = 1)$$

Where Y_1 denotes the value of the outcome of adopters of improved rice variety (1), and Y_0 is the value of the same variable for the non-adopters (0). The problem that arises with unobservability is by virtue of the fact that $E(Y_1 \mid D = 1)$ can be estimated but not $E(Y_0 \mid D = 1)$. Although $E(Y_1 \mid D = 1) - E(Y_0 \mid D = 0)$ can normally be estimated, it is potentially a biased estimator of ATT. This kind of bias is a central concern in non-experimental studies (Smith and Todd, 2005). $D = \{0, 1\}$ is the indicator of exposure to treatment.

Rosenbaum and Rubin (2005) suggest using the propensity score matching (PSM) model to account for sample selection bias that results due to observable differences between treatment and comparison groups. PSM controls for self-selection by creating the counterfactual for the group of adopters.

PSM estimates will be reliable, provided participants and controls have the same distribution of unobserved characteristics. The failure of this condition to hold is often referred to as a problem of “selection bias” in econometric, or “selection on unobservables” (Heckman and Robb, 2005). Secondly, the support for the comparison and the program participants should be the same. Finally, it is desirable that the same questionnaire is administered to both groups and that

participants and controls be derived from the same economic environment.

Instrumental Variable (IV) Regression Method

Instrumental variable is an important quasi-experimental technique with numerous applications in agriculture. IV allows us to get unbiased estimate of causal effect even when there is selection bias, unobserved confounding or imperfect compliance. Although PSM technique controls for biases due to observed characteristics, it still cannot correct biases due to unobserved characteristics or endogeneity. The idea of IV is to first identify suitable instruments that are correlated with rice variety adoption by farmers but are uncorrelated with the unobserved factors that affect the outcome. For this study, these instruments were however subjected to over-identification tests to check their validity. The IV estimation to achieve the objective is specified below as:

$Y_i = \alpha X_i + \beta T_i + \varepsilon_i$ Where Y_i is an effect outcome variable for rice farmer i and X_i is a vector of observable control covariates. i is a binary variable representing whether farmer i adopted rice variety (=1 for adopter, 0 otherwise), X is a vector of parameters to be estimated, T is the adoption effect parameter to be estimated, and ε_i is the unobserved error term. To isolate the part of the treatment variable that is independent of other unobserved characteristics affecting the outcome, Two-Stage Least Squares (2SLS) approach to IVs was used. The first stage was to regress the treatment on the instrument Z , the other covariates in equation 41, and a disturbance, ε_i . This process is known as the first-stage regression:

$T_i = \gamma Z_i + \phi X_i + u_i$ The predicted treatment from this regression, \hat{T} , therefore reflects the part of the treatment affected only by Z and thus embodies only exogenous variation in the treatment. \hat{T} is then substitute for treatment in equation 41 to create the following reduced-form outcome regression:

$Y_i = \alpha X_i + \beta(\gamma Z_i + \phi X_i + u_i) + \varepsilon_i$ The IV (also known as two-stage least squares, or 2SLS) estimate of the program impact is then $\hat{\beta}_{IV}$.

Results and Discussion

Table 1. Tobit parameter estimates of the factors affecting adoption of improved rice varieties

Variable	Adopters (n=180)	
	Normalized Coefficient	Asympotic t-ratio
Farmers Age (X_1)	-0.3263	- 4.3936*
Gender (X_2)	-0.4540	- 1.8665
Years of Education (X_3)	0.1157	3.3695*
Household size (X_4)	-0.3223	- 2.8416*
Net Farm Income (X_5)	0.0406	2.4759*
Off-Farm Income (X_6)	-0.3457	-1.8421
Cropping Practice (X_7)	0.2405	1.0315
Labour Force (X_8)	0.2141	1.9891*
Total rice farm size (X_9)	1.5416	5.1417*
Availability of IRV (X_{10})	0.0376	3.0883*
Processing Facilities (X_{11})	0.2208	1.8527
Distance of IRV (X_{12})	-0.2482	- 1.9893*
Membership of Ass. (X_{13})	0.3214	2.7105*
Extension Contract (X_{14})	0.5758	4.1782*
Constant	0.6155	2.7911

Source: Data analysis, 2018

*Significant at 5% level

The predicted prob. of $Y > \text{Liimit}$ given average $X(1)$	0.7892
The observed frequency of $Y > \text{Limit}$	0.7350
At mean values of all $X(1)$, $E(Y)$	7.5238
Log likelihood function	-847.54559
Mean square error	46.042150
Mean absolute error	0.39795132
Squared correlation between observed and expected values	0.87033
Limit observations	360
Non-limit observations	

Household size (X_4) bears negative and significant ($p < 0.05$) relationship to adoption decision of improved rice varieties (IRVs). This is also similar to the results of some studies that increased household size increases consumption pressure. This may also be attributed to little farm assistance rendered farmers' wives and children who might engage themselves in other non-farm activities such as trading and attending schools.

Net farm income (X_5) was positively signed and statistically significant at 5% in explaining IRVs. This means that one unit increase in adopting farmers' net farm income increases the probability of adoption of IRVs by 0.04 units. Off farm income (X_6) had

negative and non-statistical significance on adoption behaviour. It is therefore not a major determinant of adoption decision.

The positive coefficient of cropping practice (X_7) may be due to the predominantly sole cropping practice for rice. However, mixed cropping according does not enhance technology adoption and its practice by farmers is mainly to reduce the risk of production loss from a single crop enterprise. Access to labour (X_8) had positive and significant effect on improved rice varieties adoption behaviour. A unit change in access to labour increases the probability of IRVs adoption by 0.214.

Total rice farm size (X_9) was significant (positive) in explaining improved rice varieties adoption decisions. This is similar to the results of some studies. A unit change in total rice farm size increases the probability of adoption of IRVs by 1.542. Availability of improved rice varieties (X_{10}) was positively and significantly related to adoption of IRVs. It is therefore an essential component of the adoption process. A unit change in availability of IRVs increases the probability of adoption by about 0.034. This is consistent with results obtained by some studies (Lee, *et al.*, 2012;). Access to rice processing facilities (X_{11}) bears insignificant relationship with farmers' adoption decisions. This might be ascribed to their poor access to modern rice processing facilities.

Distance of improved rice varieties' source from farm (X_{12}) was negatively and significantly related to adoption of IRVs. A one unit change in distance of farm from improved rice varieties' source decreases the probability of adoption by about 0.248. Membership in association/cooperative society (X_{13}) and Access to extension contact (X_{14}) positively and significantly influenced adoption of improved rice varieties. This supports the views of studies like.

Effect of Adoption of Improved Rice Varieties on farmer's Productivity

Due to the problem of selection bias and particularly non-compliance or problem of endogeneity, this study uses a combination of methods to access the impact. The impact of improved rice varieties on rice productivity was estimated by the use of Average Treatment Effect using propensity score matching techniques and Local Average Treatment Effect (LATE) model using instrumental variable regression for the purpose of comparison. The LATE estimate was carried out for the outcome (rice productivity). The result of the impact of improved rice varieties adoption on farmer's productivity is presented in Table 23. The Average Treatment Effect (ATE) in the entire population was 239.54 kg/ha, the ATEI on the sub-population of adopters was 267.34. This implies that the adopters had an increase of 267.34 kg/ha in rice productivity. Also, the instrumental variable regression estimates suggest that the adoption of improved rice varieties significantly increases rice productivity by 318.29 kg/ha. This could be interpreted as the change in rice productivity that is attributed to a change in improved agricultural technology.

Table 2. Estimation of effects of improved rice varieties' adoption on output/hectare of adopting farming household

Estimation methods	Parameters	Std. Error
Propensity score matching	-	-
Average Treatment Effect (ATE)	239.54*	123.00
Average Treatment Effect (Adopters) (ATE1)	267.34*	107.16
Average Treatment Effect (Non-adopters) (ATE0)	210.93*	136.29
Instrumental variable regression	318.29*	117.22

Source: Data analysis, 2018

*Significant at 5 percent level of probability

Conclusion and Recommendations

Adoption of improved rice varieties significantly improved rice productivity in the study area. Based on the conclusion of this study, the following recommendations are made in order to improve rice production among far in the study area.

(i) The federal government and developmental agencies/private organisation should make productive resources such as improved rice varieties available and accessible to farmers, particularly the non-adopters in

the study area. Access to seed is a necessary condition for improved rice varieties adoption, therefore efforts should be geared toward making adequate seed available to the rural farmers in order to encourage its adoption.

Since the adoption of improved rice varieties led to increase in rice productivity, then it means that one of the ways to achieve Nigeria's goal of self-sufficiency in rice production is through improved rice technology adoption, hence all necessary efforts such as creation of awareness about the potential benefits inherent in

the adoption of improved rice seed, increase in farmers education, more publicity about the varieties released through the media should be intensified. Credit facilities as well as extension service should also be adequately provided to the farmers.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge funding from Tetfund institution- based research intervention for this study.

References

- Adesina, A., Chianu, J. And Mbila, D. (1998). Property rights and alley farming technology adoption in West and Central Africa. Paper presented at the workshop on property rights, collective action and technology adoption. ICARDA. November 22-25. Aleppo, Syria.
- Adekoya, E. A. and Babaleye, T. (2007). Consistency in Technology Adoption among farmers in Northern Nigeria. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment*. Vol.7 (3and 4): 457-460.
- Ajibefun, I.A., Langyintuo, A.S. and Mwangi, M .J. (2010). Household Resource Endowment and Determinants of Adoption of Drought Tolerant Maize Varieties: A Double-hurdle Approach. Contributed Paper prepared for presentation at the Association of Agricultural Economists Conference, Beijing, China, August 16-22, 2010.
- Akinola, A. A. (1987). An application of the probit analysis to the adoption of the tractor hiring services scheme in Nigeria. *Oxford Agrarian Studies*, 16: 70-82.
- Awotide, B.A., A. Diagne, A.N Wiredu, and V.E. Ojehomon (2012): Wealth Status and Agricultural Technology Adoption among Smallholder Rice Farmers in Nigeria. *OIDA International Journal of Sustainable Development* 5 (2): 97-114.
- Ayanwale, A.B. and Amusan, C.A. (2012): Gender Analysis of Rice production Efficiency in Osun State: Implication for the Agricultural Transformation Agenda. Paper presented at the 13th National Conference of the Nigerian Association of Agricultural Economists, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria, September 25th – 27th.
- Balogun, O.Y. (2003). Senior atlas, second edition (Third impression), Lagos, Longman Nigeria Plc. pp. 161.
- Dawe D. and Pandey, S. (2010). Estimation of technical efficiencies of individual farmers involved in rice production in two regions in the Philippines in 2009 – 2010.
- Diewert, W. and A. Nakamura (2005). “Concepts and Measures of Productivity: An Introduction”. In *Services, industries and the Knowledge Based Economy*, edited by Lipsey and Nakamura. University of Calgary press.
- Feder, G., Just, R.E. and Ziberman, D. (1985). “Adoption of agricultural innovations in developing countries: A survey”. *Economic Development and Cultural Change*. 33(2): 225-296.
- FMARD (2012): Agricultural Transformation Agenda: Repositioning agriculture to drive Nigeria’s economy. Presentation by Dr. Akinwumi Adesina, Honourable Minister of Agriculture and Rural Development, Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development November, 2012.
- Gingiyu, I.M. (2012). Nigeria: FG Trains Women on Modern Rice Processing Techniques. Available at: <http://allafrica.com/stories.html>. Accessed 12th January 2013.
- Gould, B.W., Saupe, W. E. and Klemme, R.M. (1989). Conservation tillage: The role of farm and operator characteristics and the perception of erosion. *Land Economics*, 65: 167-182.
- Hossain, M.S. (2004). An Analysis of the efficiency of cotton farmers in the Pakistan province in Pakistan. *Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation*. Graduate School of Agriculture and Resource Economics, University of New England, Armidale Australia.
- IITA (International Institute of Tropical Agriculture) (2010). Ibadan: IITA GIS unit.
- Kinkingninhoun-Me^dagbe F.M. Diagne, A. Simtowe, F. Agboh-Noameshie, A.R. Adegbola, P.Y. (2010). Gender discrimination and its impact on income, productivity, and technical efficiency: evidence from Benin, *Agric Hum Values* (2010) 27:57-69.
- Lee, W.S. (2012). Micro-Econometrics for Policy, Program and Treatment Effects. Advanced Texts in Econometric. Oxford University Press
- Lee, W.S. (2008). Propensity score matching and variations on the balancing test. Third Conference on Policy Evaluation, Mannheim, Germany, 27-28 October 2008.
- Manyong, V.M., Kling, J.G., Makinde, K.O., Ajala, S.O., Menkir, A., (2004). Impact of IITA germplasm improvement on maize production in West and Central Africa. In: Evenson, R.E., Gollin, D. (eds.). *Crop Variety Improvement and Its Effect on Productivity: The Impact of International Agricultural Research*. CAB International, Wallingford, 159-181.
- Matsumoto, T., Yamano, T. and Serunkuuma, D. (2013). Technology Adoption and Dissemination in Agriculture: Evidence from Sequential

- Intervention in Maize Production in Uganda, GRIPS Discussion Paper.
- National Population Census result (2007): Nigeria gazette. Wednesday, January 10, 2007.
- Nelson, R.R. and Batie, V. O. (1987). Household Resource Endowment and Determinants of Adoption of Drought Tolerant Maize Varieties: A Double-hurdle Approach. Contributed Paper prepared for presentation at the Association of Agricultural Economists Conference, Beijing, China, August 16-22, 1987.
- Nsoanya, L.N. and Nenna, M.G. (2011). Adoption of improved cassava production technologies in Anambra-East Local Government Area of Anambra State, Nigeria. *Jorind* 9(2), 36-43. www.transcampus.org/www.ajo1.info/journals/jorind accessed 2011.
- Ogada, M.J., Nwabu, G. And Muchai, D. (2014). Farm technology adoption in Kenya: A simultaneous estimation of inorganic fertilizer and improved maize variety adoption decisions. *Agricultural and Food Economics*, 2(12): 18-26.
- Pardey, G.P., Alston, J.M., Chan-Kang, C., Magalhaes, E.C., Vosti, S.A., (2010). International and institutional R&D spillovers: Attribution of benefits among sources for Brazil's new crop varieties. *Am. J. Agric. Econ.* 88(1): 104-123.
- Polson, R.A. and Spencer, D.S.C (1991). The technology adoption process in subsistence agriculture: The case of cassava in South Western Nigeria. *Agric. System.* 36: 65-77.
- Rajan, R., & Subramanian, A. (2010). Aid and Growth: What does the Cross-Country. Evidence really show? IMF Working Paper.
- Saka, J.O. and B.O., Lawal, (2009). Determinants of Adoption and Productivity of Improved Rice Varieties in Southwestern Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 8(19):4923-4932.
- Spencer, C. (2004). Factors influencing awareness and development of sorghum varieties in Ghana. In: Factors affecting the adoption and impact of CGIAR innovations: a synthesis of findings. A report to the impact assessment and evaluation group (IAEG) pp. 18-19
- Singh, A.K. and Baruah, M.J. (2011). Farmers' Adoption Behaviour in Rice Technology: An Analysis of Adoption Behaviour of Farmers in Rice Technology under Different Farming System in Assam. *Journal of Human Ecology*, 35(3): 167-172.
- Tobin, J. (1958). Estimation of relationships for limited dependent variables. *Econometrica*. 26: 29-39.
- World Bank (2009). *Understanding impact evaluation*. World Bank, Washington, D.C. (On line) <http://www.worldbank.org/poverty/impact/index.htm>. Accessed 2015.

Access this Article in Online	
	Website: www.ijarbs.com
	Subject: Agricultural Sciences
Quick Response Code	
DOI: 10.22192/ijarbs.2020.07.04.006	

How to cite this article:

Adeyemi, A. A., Omotara O. A., Adeyemo A. A. and Oludele A. S.. (2020). Impact of improved rice varieties' adoption on sustainable rice productivity among farmers in Southwestern Nigeria. *Int. J. Adv. Res. Biol. Sci.* 7(4): 69-78.
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22192/ijarbs.2020.07.04.006>