International Journal of Advanced Research in Biological Sciences
ISSN: 2348-8069
www.ijarbs.com
DOI: 10.22192/ijarbs Coden: IJARQG(USA) Volume 7, Issue 2 -2020

Research Article

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22192/ijarbs.2020.07.02.014

Effect of organic amendments in Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.)

N. Ashokkumar*, M. Muruganantham, S. Dharani

Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu.
*Corresponding author e-mail: *ashokkumar.tnau@gmail.com*

Abstract

The studies on growth and yield of Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.*)* In the experiment was carried out in the Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Thanthai Roever Institute of Agriculture and Rural Development, Perambalur, Tamilnadu. The different combination of organic inputs taken as treatments *viz.*, farm yard manure @ 2.5 and 5.0 kg and vermicompost @ 1.25 and 2.5 kg plant^{-1} and also humic acid @ 10 and 20 g plant^{-1} as soil application and 0.1 and 0.2 percent as foliar spray. Significantly the effects of vermicompost and humic acid as foliar spray were found increasing the growth and yield attributes of Brinjal. Maximum influence was seen with the application of vermicompost @ 2.5 kg plant^{-1} + humic acid 0.1 percent foliar spray. The application of vermicompost and humic acid significantly influenced all the growth and yield attributes. In respect of number of leaves, fruit length, leaf size, fruit yield, and fruit weight, significant increase was found in the treatment T_8. The nutrient uptake was markedly increased by the application of vermicompost 2.5 kg plant^{-1} along with 0.1 percent foliar spray of humic acid. Hence from the results of growth, yield and plant uptake, application of vermicompost @ 2.5 kg plant^{-1} + humic acid 0.1 percent foliar spray plant^{-1} was found to be the best than other combinations for enhancing the plant growth and fruit yield of Brinjal.

Keywords: vermicompost , humic acid and *Solanum melongena* L.

Introduction

Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) -Egg plant of Solanceae family is one of the widely used vegetable crop by most of the people and is popular in many countries *viz.*, central, south and south east Asia, some part of Africa and central America (Grubban,1977). one of important vegetables-tropical countries. Brinjal is a native of india and perhaps china is a secondary centre of origin. Foliar application of plant growth regulators has been increase the yield in brinjal (Patil and Ballal 1980). It is an important vegetable due to its nutritive value, consisting of minerals like iron, phosphorus, calcium and vitamins like A, B and C. Unripe fruits are used primarily as vegetable in the country. It is also used as raw material in pickle making and dehydration industries (Singh *et al.*, 1992) and is an excellent remedy for those suffering from liver complaints. It is used in ayurvedic medicine for curing diabetes and also as a good appetizer. It is good aphrodisiac, cardiotonic, laxative, mutant and reliever of inflammation. In world, brinjal occupies an area of 1.128 million ha with a production of 1.74 million tonnes with an average productivity of 15, 434 tonnes per ha (Anon., 1997). In India, brinjal is grown throughout the year in almost all parts of country except at higher altitude and liked by both poor and rich alike and it is a major vegetable crop of plains (Premanatha *et al.*, 1987). The present farming system totally depends on use of chemical fertilizers, pesticides and growth regulators for enhancing crop productivity which gradually culminated in a situation

where there is a need to reconsider the alternative to chemical agriculture developed in the western world. The organically cultivated food crops have greater export potential, growing at 10-15 per cent per year. The sustainable agriculture practice can effectively prevent the entry of pesticides and toxicants in the food chain and prevent soil water pollution and health hazards. The objects of environment, social and economic sustainability lie at the heart of organic farming and are among the major factor determining the acceptability or otherwise of specific production practices. Generally, solanaceous vegetables require large quantity of major nutrients like nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium, in addition to secondary nutrients such as calcium and sulphur for better growth, fruit and seed yield. The cost of inorganic fertilizer has been enormously increasing to an extent that they are out of reach of the small and marginal farmers. Although insecticidal control is one of the effective means against the fruit borer, many of the insecticides applied are not effective for the satisfactory control of these pests. Brinjal being vegetable crop, use of chemical insecticides will have considerable toxic residue in the fruits besides this, sole dependence on insecticides for the control of these pests has led to insecticidal resistance by the pest hence use of organic amendments with IPM modules can be the novel approaches in the recent past to manage the pest. Hence, keeping the above points in view, present investigation has been undertaken with to study the effect of organic nutrient management practices on growth and yield parameters of brinjal.

Materials and Methods

The present study on the effect of soil application of different organic nutrients on the growth and yield characters in (*Solanam melangena* L.) was carried out in the Department of Horticulture, Thanthai Roever Institute of Agriculture And Rural development, Vallapuram. The details of the materials used and the methods adopted for the experiments are given below.

Location

The experimental site is located at Vallapuram 1 km east longitude and at an altitude of ± 133.3 m above the mean sea level. The maximum temperature of the location ranges from 30.2°C to 39.5°C (With a mean of 33.7°C), while the minimum temperature ranges from 16.5°C to 24.9°C (With a mean of 22.4°C). The mean annual rainfall is 861 mm of which 67 percent is received during the north east monsoon (Oct – Dec) twenty four percent during south west monsoon (June – Sep) and nine percent during summer showers.

General view of the experimental field Brinjal (*Solanum melongena*.L)

Preparation of planting material

The seeds are sowned in nursery bed and after 45 days the seedlings are planted in the main field.

Details of the experiment

Design: Randomised block design;
No. of treatments: 9;
No. of replications: 3
No. of plants per replication: 4

Treatment details

T_1 - FYM @ 1.0 kg plant^{-1} + Humic acid @ 0.2 % plant^{-1} FS
T_2 - FYM @ 1.0 kg plant^{-1} + Humic acid @ 0.3 %plant^{-1} FS
T_3 - FYM @ 1.0 kg plant^{-1} + Panchakavya @ 0.2 % FS
T_4 - FYM @ 1.0 kg plant^{-1} + Panchakavya @ 0.3 % FS
T_5 - Vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1} + Humic acid @ 0.2 % plant^{-1} FS
T_6 - Vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1} + Humic acid @ 0.3%g plant^{-1} FS
T_7 - Vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1} + Panchakavya @ 0.2 % FS
T_8 - Vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1} + Panchakavya @ 0.3 % FS
T_9 - Control

Application of FYM

FYM at the rate of 1.0 kg Per plant is thoroughly mixed with soil and applied around the plants.

Vermicompost

Vermicompost used in this study was obtained from the organic horticulture division and it was used for the soil application. Vermicompost at the rate of 0.5kg is thoroughly mixed with soil and applied around the plants.

Humic acid

Humic acid was obtained in the liquid form from the laboratories, Perambalur. The humic acid was applied by foliar spray at different concentration .0.2 % and 0.3 % of humic acid was dissolved in one litre of water and then sprayed at 60,90,105 days interval with the help of hand sprayer.

Observations recorded on growth and yield parameters

The following observations were recorded in the plants in each replication and the mean value was calculated. Observations on morphological variations namely number of branches per plant, number of fruits per plant, fruit weight per plant.

Number of branches per plant

The branches was counted at 60, 90, 105 days interval starting from 45 days after planting.

Number of fruits per plant

The number of fruits in a plant was counted at 60, 90, 105 days interval starting from 45 days after planting.

Fruit weight per plant (kg)

The fruit weight was counted in a plant at 60, 90, 105 days interval and expressed in kg plant -1

Experimental Results

Number of branches

A wide range of variation from 15.66 to 25.00 (Table.1) was observed for this character among the treatments at 90 DAPS and it was also significant. The treatment T_6 recorded the highest bv cxt number of branches (11.00, 15.00 and 25.00 at 30, 60 and 90 DAP respectively) while the lowest number of branches (5.00, 11.00 and 15.66 cm at 30, 60 and 90 DAP respectively) was recorded in T_9.

Table.1 Studies On Organic Brinjal Production on Number of Branches Plant^{-1}

Treatments	Number of Branches Plant^{-1}		
	30 DAP	60 DAP	90 DAP*
T_1 – FYM @ 1.0 kg plant^{-1} + Humic acid @ 0.2% plant^{-1}F S	9.00	14.33	23.33
T_2 – FYM @ 1.0 kg plant^{-1} + Humic acid @ 0.3% plant^{-1} FS	9.00	13.33	21.66
T_3 – FYM @ 1.0 kg plant^{-1} + Panchakavya @ 0.2 % FS	6.33	12.00	17.33
T_4 – FYM @ 1.0 kg plant^{-1} + Panchakavya @ 0.3 % FS	6.66	11.00	17.00
T_5 – vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1} + Humic acid @ 0.2% plant^{-1} FS	10.00	14.66	24.00
T_6 – vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1} + Humic acid @ 0.3% plant^{-1} FS	11.00	15.00	25.00
T_7 – vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1} + Panchakavya @ 0.2 % FS	7.00	13.00	19.33
T_8 – vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1} + Panchakavya @ 0.3 % FS	5.66	12.00	18.66
T_9 – Control	5.00	11.00	15.66
S.Ed	3.31	0.70	1.45
CD (p = 0.05)	6.66	1.28	2.65

Number of fruits

A wide range of variation (from 19.66 to 31.33) (Table.2) was observed for this character among the treatments at 90 DAP and it was also significant. The treatment T_6 recorded the highest number of leaves plant^{-1} (10.00, 21.00 and 31.33 at 30, 60, and 90 DAP respectively) while the lowest number of leaves plant^{-1} (5.33, 10.66 and 19.66 at 30, 60 and 90 DAP respectively) was recorded in T_9.

Table.2 Studies On Organic Brinjal Production on Number of Fruits Plant^{-1}

Treatments	Number of Fruits plant^{-1}		
	30 DAP	60 DAP	90 DAP*
T_1 – FYM @ 1.0 kg plant^{-1} + Humic acid @ 0.2% plant^{-1}F S	9.00	8.66	28.33
T_2 – FYM @ 1.0 kg plant^{-1} + Humic acid @ 0.3% plant^{-1} FS	8.66	17.00	26.00
T_3 – FYM @ 1.0 kg plant^{-1} + Panchakavya @ 0.2 % FS	6.33	12.33	21.33
T_4 – FYM @ 1.0 kg plant^{-1} + Panchakavya @ 0.3 % FS	6.66	11.33	20.00
T_5 – vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1} + Humic acid @ 0.2% plant^{-1} FS	9.00	20.33	30.66
T_6 – vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1} + Humic acid @ 0.3% plant^{-1} FS	10.00	21.00	31.33
T_7 – vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1} + Panchakavya @ 0.2 % FS	6.66	15.66	24.66
T_8 – vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1} + Panchakavya @ 0.3 % FS	8.00	13.33	23.00
T_9 – Control	5.33	10.66	19.66
S.Ed	3.65	0.85	1.09
CD (p = 0.05)	7.34	1.56	2.01

Fruit weight plant^{-1} (g)

For this trait, significant differences were noticed among the various treatments. The fruit weight plant^{-1} ranged from (25.33, 60.66 and 91.33 g) (Table.3) The highest single fruit weight was observed in the treatment T_6 and was followed by the treatment T_5 (23, 56 and 86 g) which exhibited statistically significant differences with T_6. The lowest fruit weight plant^{-1} was observed in T_9 (12.00, 22.66 and 36.00 g).

Table.3 Studies on organic Brinjal Production on Fruit Weight Plant^{-1}

Treatments	Fruit Weight plant^{-1} (g)		
	30 DAP	60 DAP	90 DAP*
T_1 – FYM @ 1.0 kg plant^{-1} + Humic acid @ 0.2% plant^{-1}F S	21.66	2.66	82.66
T_2 – FYM @ 1.0 kg plant^{-1} + Humic acid @ 0.3% plant^{-1} FS	20.66	48.66	76.00
T_3 – FYM @ 1.0 kg plant^{-1} + Panchakavya @ 0.2 % FS	15.00	31.33	61.33
T_4 – FYM @ 1.0 kg plant^{-1} + Panchakavya @ 0.3 % FS	13.66	26.66	52.00
T_5 – vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1} + Humic acid @ 0.2% plant^{-1} FS	24.00	56.66	86.00
T_6 – vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1} + Humic acid @ 0.3% plant^{-1} FS	25.33	60.66	91.33
T_7 – vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1} + Panchakavya @ 0.2 % FS	19.66	43.66	72.33
T_8 – vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1} + Panchakavya @ 0.3 % FS	17.00	36.33	68.66
T_9 – Control	12.00	22.66	36.00
S.Ed	5.39	1.12	4.17
CD (p = 0.05)	10.82	2.04	7.65

Discussion

Growth characters

Among the various treatments tested, the treatment which received the received the application of vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1} + humic acid 0.3 percent plant^{-1} as foliar spray increased the plant height up to 79 (cm) at 90 DAP. This was followed by the application of vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1} + humic acid 0.2 % plant^{-1} foliar spray increased the plant height up to 78 (cm) at 90 DAP whereas the plant height was least in control. According to Bano et al. (1987), vermicompost is a rich source of plant macro nutrient (N, P_2O_5 and K_2O), secondary elements (Ca, Mg) and vital micro nutrients like Fe, B, Zn and Mo. and he reported that addition of humic substances increased the plant height. Addition of vermicompost and humic substances improved the vegetative growth characters of the plant as reported by Kale *et al*., (1987).The treatment which received the application of vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1}+ humic acid @ 0.3 % plant^{-1} foliar spray recorded the maximum number of branches 25 at 90 DAP **.** This was followed by the application of vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1} + humic acid 0.2 % plant^{-1} foliar spray which recorded the maximum number of branches up to 24 at 90 DAP whereas the least number of branches 16 was recorded in the control . (Grappelli *et al*., 1985) reported that addition of vermicompost increased the number of branches plant^{-1}.

The treatment which received the application of vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1} + 0.3 percent humic acid as foliar spray increased the number of leaves up to 104 leaves at 90 DAP. This was followed by the application of vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1} + humic acid 0.2 % plant^{-1} foliar spray increased the number of leaves up to 101 leaves at 90 DAP whereas the number of leaves was least in control Addition of humic substances tends to increase the respiration rate, metabolism and growth of plant as reported by Schnitzer (1991). In brinjal Nanthakumar and Veeraraghathatam (1996) reported that addition of humic substances increased the number of leaves plant^{-1}.

The treatment which received the application of vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1}+ humic acid @ 0.3 % plant^{-1} foliar spray recorded the maximum number of flowers up to 28 at 90 DAP **.** This was followed by the application of vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1} humic acid 0.2 % plant^{-1} foliar spray increased the number of flowers up to 26 at 90 DAP whereas the number of flowers was least in control

Yield attributes

Yield and yield components are the important characters which may decide the yield. Eventhough they are genetically controlled, also influenced by the availability of nutrients to the crop. The treatment which received the application of vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1}+ humic acid @ 0.3 % plant^{-1} foliar spray recorded the maximum number of fruit per plant up to 31 at 90 DAP **.** This was followed by the application of vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1} + humic acid 0.2 % plant^{-1} foliar spray increased the number of fruits per plant up to 30 leaves at 90 DAP whereas the number of flowers was least in control Vermicompost can be used as an alternative source of nutrition in crop production. Vermicompost, owing to its surplus nutritive content enhances beneficial soil micro flora and it increases plant growth. Since it is cost effective, it can be recommended to farmers as best organic manures for the medicinal crop.

Many experiments have shown that worm casts enhance plant root initiation, develop and increase root biomass, enhance plant growth, increase crop yield and plant productivity (Grappelli *et al*., 1985).The treatment which received the application of vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1}+ humic acid @ 0.3 % plant^{-1} foliar spray recorded the maximum number of fruit weight per plant up to 91 g at 90 DAP**.** This was followed by the application of vermicompost @ 0.5 kg plant^{-1} + humic acid 0.2 % plant^{-1} foliar spray increased the number of fruit weight per plant up to 86 g at 90 DAP whereas the number of flowers was least in control noticed that maximum yields were consistently maintained with humic acid application of 0.45 g pot $^{-1}$ than the foliar spray in tomato. Padem and Ocal (1997) reported that addition of humic substances increased the fruit weight in brinjal. Higher levels of vermicompost and humic acid had recorded higher potassium uptake. Potassium uptake was positively influenced by higher levels of nutrients. Humic acid 0.1 percent foliar spray increased the potassium uptake in many crops. Hence it has been confirmed that the brinjal plant, can be cultivated through organic means for the benefit of the farming community for higher yield and revenue.

References

Grappelli A, Tomati U, Galli E (1985). Earthworm casting in plant propagation. Hortic. Sci., 20(5): 874-876.

Grubban, G.H., 1977. Tropical vegetables and their genetic resources. International Board for plant constraints. genetic resources, FAO., Rome, pp: 18-20.

Kale, R.D., K.Bano, M.N. Sreenivas and D.J. Bagyaraj. 1987. Influence of worm cast (Vee Comp. EUAS 83) on the growth and mycorrhizae colonization of two ornamental plants. South Indian Hort., 35: 433-437.

Nanthakumar, S. and Veeraraghathatam, 1996, Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and quality attributes of brinjal. South Indian Hortic., 49(special) : 195-198

Patil, P. K.; Ballal, A. L. 1980 Effect of seed treatment and foliar spray of various plant growth regulators on flower drop and yield of green chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) variety NP-46-A. Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural Universities 1980 Vol.5 No.3 pp.195-197

Premanath R & Lakshmidevi N 1987 Studies on Anti-oxidant activity of *Tinospora cordifolia* (Miers.) leaves using in vitro models. J. Am. Sci. 6: 10.

Schnitzer M. (1991) Soil organic matter. The next 75 years. Soil Science 151, 41-58

Singh, B. ; Narang, M. P., 1992. A comparison of chemical composition, cell-wall content, digestibility and degradation kinetic characteristics as predictors of forage intake. Indian J. Anim. Sci., 62: 369-3

Access this Article in Online	
	Website: www.ijarbs.com
Quick Response Code	Subject: Agricultural Sciences
DOI:10.22192/ijarbs.2020.07.02.014	

How to cite this article:
N. Ashokkumar, M. Muruganantham, S. Dharani. (2020). Effect of organic amendments in Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Int. J. Adv. Res. Biol. Sci. 7(2): 143-148.
DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22192/ijarbs.2020.07.02.014