



Production and Productivity on Row Spacing and Fertility Levels of White gram (*Cicer kabulium* L.) in Indo-gangetic Plain Zone of Uttar Pradesh

Raghvendra Singh¹, Vijay Kumar Rajpoot², Amar Kant Verma^{3*}, Sumit Raj⁴, Yogesh Pratap Singh⁵, Ranjeet Kumar⁶ & Amit Prakash Raghuwanshi⁷

^{1,2,3,4,5 & 6}Department of Soil Conservation and Water Management,

C S Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur - 208002, Uttar Pradesh

⁷Department of Botany, D. A-V College, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh

*Corresponding author: amarkant9004@gmail.com

Abstract

The field experiment was conducted during *rabi* season of 2014-15 at Soil Conservation and Water Management Farm of C S Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur. The experiment consisted nine treatments *viz.* S₁F₁ (*i.e.* 30 cm and 10 kg N, 40 P, 20 kg K, 20 kg Sulfur), S₁F₂ (*i.e.* 30 cm and 20 kg N, 60 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg Sulfur), S₁F₃ (*i.e.* 30 cm and 30 kg N, 80 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg Sulfur), S₂F₁ (*i.e.* 40 cm and 10 kg N, 40 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg Sulfur), S₂F₂ (*i.e.* 40 cm and 20 kg N, 60 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg Sulfur), S₂F₃ (*i.e.* 40 cm and 30 kg N, 80 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg Sulfur), S₃F₁ (*i.e.* 50 cm and 10 kg N, 40 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg Sulfur), S₃F₂ (*i.e.* 50 cm and 20 kg N, 60 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg Sulfur), S₃F₃ (*i.e.* 50 cm and 30 kg N, 80 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg Sulfur) nine treatments were tested in factorial randomized block design with three replications. From results of present investigation an overall conclusion may be drawn that Kabuli gram variety "Pragti" proved better with 40 cm row spacing in comparison to other treatments with fertility levels at 30 kg N, 80 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg S ha⁻¹ along with net profit of Rs. 14786 ha⁻¹ and benefit : cost ratio of 1:1.59.

Keywords: Seed yield, Stover yield, Harvest index, Net return, B:C Ratio.

Introduction

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.), the most important pulse crop of *rabi* season, is cultivated mainly in semi-arid and warm temperate regions of the world. It is grown in several countries worldwide as a food source. It is, probably, the highest protein containing gram legume except groundnut and soybean. Chickpea is the third most important food legume crop and India is the largest producer contributing to 68% of world's chickpea production Basavegowda *et al.* (2019). Even though India is the largest producer of chickpea; it still

imports chickpea from other countries. Chickpea is largely cultivated in the temperate region.

Chickpea is a premier pulse crop of India grown in *rabi* season under various cropping systems. In India, it is grown on an area about 9.91 million hectares with an annual production of 8.22 million tones and average productivity is 895 kg ha⁻¹. It contributes about 47% of the total pulse production and about 40% of total pulse growing area in the country.

In India, it is mainly grown in the states of Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Gujarat, Haryana, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, etc. Among these states, Madhya Pradesh is the largest producer of chickpea, which covers 2.79 million hectares area with an annual production of 2.58 million tonnes. Chickpea is an important source of protein in the diets of the poor and is particularly important in vegetarian diets. Also, it is being used increasingly as a substitute for animal protein. Optimum sowing time of chickpea may vary from one variety to another and also from one region to another due to variation of agro-ecological conditions Singh *et al.* (2019).

Water is one of the most valuable resources for the survival of civilization. However, the agriculture sector is the largest consumer of water resources in India. Assured supply of water is necessary for sustainable agriculture. However, farmers are using water irrationally. Lack of awareness among the farmers about the consequences of irrational use of water and lack of appropriate tools and instruments for regulated and uniform application of the desired quantity of water at the appropriate time are among the major causes of low water-use efficiency at the field-level. This has ultimately led to a decline of the water resources. Farmers' practices need to be critically observed and modified taking into view the perceptions, concerns and constraints of the farmers in adopting better irrigation methods Kumar *et al.* (2017).

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted during *rabi* season of 2014-15 in Soil Conservation and Water Management Farm of C S Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur in alluvial soil. Soil of the experimental plot was sandy loam in texture and slightly calcareous having organic carbon 0.48%, total nitrogen 0.03%, available P₂O₅ 12.6 Kg ha⁻¹, pH 7.3, electrical conductivity 0.34 dS m⁻¹, permanent wilting point 6.3%, field capacity 18.4%, maximum water holding capacity 29.6%, Bulk density 1.46 Mg m⁻³, particle density 2.56 Mg m⁻³ and porosity 42.9%. The experiment was conducted in a factorial randomized block design with three replications and nine treatments *viz.* S₁F₁ (*i.e.* 30 cm and 10 kg N, 40 P, 20 kg K, 20 kg Sulfur), S₁F₂ (*i.e.* 30 cm and 20 kg N, 60 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg Sulfur), S₁F₃ (*i.e.* 30 cm and 30 kg N, 80 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg Sulfur), S₂F₁ (*i.e.* 40 cm and 10 kg N, 40 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg Sulfur), S₂F₂ (*i.e.* 40 cm and 20 kg N, 60 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg Sulfur), S₂F₃ (*i.e.* 40 cm and 30 kg N, 80 kg P, 20 kg

K, 20 kg Sulfur), S₃F₁ (*i.e.* 50 cm and 10 kg N, 40 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg Sulfur), S₃F₂ (*i.e.* 50 cm and 20 kg N, 60 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg Sulfur), S₃F₃ (*i.e.* 50 cm and 30 kg N, 80 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg Sulfur). "Pragati" was the variety of Kabuli gram was sown in different rows spacing in cm apart using 5 kg seed ha⁻¹. Full dose of P and K while half dose of N was applied as basal dose at the time of sowing where rest of N was given in two split doses during experimentation. Available moisture at sowing time upto 100 cm soil profile was 269.8 mm. Whereas amount of rainfall received during the crop period was nil against the average annual rainfall of about 800 mm. Recommended package of practices were applied in different treatments. Soil moisture was monitored gravimetrically using the sample collected from 0-25, 25-50, 50-75 and 75-100 cm soil depths at regular monthly intervals to quantify the soil moisture content and growth parameters by randomly selecting three plants for each plots till the harvest.

The data collected on growth, yield attributes and yields were statistically analyzed (Fisher and Yates, 1958). Recommended package of practices and fertilizers doses were applied in different treatments. The harvest index was worked out with the help of following formula:

$$\text{Harvest Index (\%)} = \frac{\text{Seed yield (q ha}^{-1}\text{)}}{\text{Biological yield (q ha}^{-1}\text{)}} \times 100$$

For economic evaluation the cost of cultivation, gross returns, net returns, and B: C ratio were computed using standard procedure based on minimum support price of white gram.

Results and Discussion

The seed yield differences due to fertilizer were found to be statistically significant over control. Seed yield was maximum (10.23 q ha⁻¹) in 40 cm row spacing and (10.18 q ha⁻¹) fertility level 30 kg N, 80 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg S ha⁻¹. Similar results were reported by Patel *et al.* (2009) and Chourasiya *et al.* (2018). Stover yield was obtained maximum (17.84 q ha⁻¹) in 40 cm and (17.83 q ha⁻¹) at 30 kg N, 80 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg S ha⁻¹ of white gram. Similar results were reported by Verma and Yadav, (2018). Biological yield was maximum (28.07 q ha⁻¹) in 40 cm row spacing and (28.01 q ha⁻¹) fertility level 30 kg N, 80 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg S ha⁻¹. Similar results were reported by Kumari *et al.* 2019. Harvest index was found maximum (36.45 per cent) in 40 cm row spacing and (36.35 per cent) at 30 kg N, 80 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg S ha⁻¹. Similar results were reported by Kumar *et al.* (2016).

Table 1: Effect of row spacing and fertility levels on seed yield, stover yield, biological yield and harvest index under different treatments

Treatments	Seed yield (q ha ⁻¹)			Stover yield (q ha ⁻¹)			Biological yield (q ha ⁻¹)			Harvest index (%)		
Row spacing (cm)												
S ₁	9.08			16.23			25.31			35.87		
S ₂	10.23			17.84			28.07			36.44		
S ₃	9.65			17.10			26.75			36.07		
Fertility levels (N, P, K, S, in kg ha⁻¹)												
F ₁	9.06			16.51			25.57			35.41		
F ₂	9.28			16.88			26.16			35.48		
F ₃	10.18			17.83			28.01			36.35		
	<i>S</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>SXF</i>	<i>S</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>SXF</i>	<i>S</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>SXF</i>	<i>S</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>SXF</i>
SE(D) _±	0.22	0.22	0.37	0.25	0.25	0.38	0.31	0.31	0.51	0.38	0.38	0.66
CD (P= 0.05)	0.46	0.46	NS	0.54	0.54	NS	0.67	0.67	NS	0.81	0.81	NS

Table 2: Effect of row spacing and fertility levels on gross return, net return and cost: benefit ratio under different treatments

Treatments	Cost of cultivation (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	Gross return (Rs. ha ⁻¹)			Net return (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	Benefit: cost ratio
		By seed	By stover	Total		
Row spacing (cm)						
S ₁	24976	30146	5275	35421	10444	1:1.42
S ₂	24976	33964	5798	39762	14786	1:1.59
S ₃	24976	32038	5558	37596	12620	1:1.51
Fertility levels (N, P, K, S, in kg ha⁻¹)						
F ₁	31857	30079	5366	35445	3588	1:1.11
F ₂	33007	30810	5486	36296	3289	1:1.10
F ₃	34157	33798	5795	39592	5436	1:1.16

Perusal of the data presented in Table-2 make it clear that cost of cultivation (Rs. 31857 ha⁻¹) in F₁ fertility levels *i.e.* 10 kg N, 40 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg S ha⁻¹, (Rs. 33007 ha⁻¹) in F₂ *i.e.* 20 kg N, 60 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg S ha⁻¹ and (Rs. 34157 ha⁻¹) in F₃ 30 kg N, 80 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg S ha⁻¹. Gross returned was statistically higher (Rs. 39762 ha⁻¹) obtained in 40 cm with 30 kg N, 80 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg S ha⁻¹ followed by (Rs. 37596 ha⁻¹). In 50 cm row spacing with 20 kg N, 60 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg S ha⁻¹. The highest net profit (Rs. 14786 ha⁻¹) worked out in 40 cm row spacing and 30 kg N, 80 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg S ha⁻¹ Singh *et al.* (2017) reported that row spacing of 45 cm gave higher seed yield and B:C ratio. Similar resulted were also reported by Aggarwal *et al.* (2016) also forward similar trend in yield and economics of chickpea Rachhoya *et al.* (2018).

Conclusion

From results of present investigation an overall conclusion may be drawn that white gram variety "Pragti" proved better with 40 cm row spacing in comparison to other treatments with fertility levels at 30 kg N, 80 kg P, 20 kg K, 20 kg S ha⁻¹ along with net profit of Rs. 14786 ha⁻¹ and benefit : cost ratio of 1:1.59 would be quite remunerative for higher productivity alongwith seed yield in Indo-gangetic plain zone of Uttar Pradesh.

References

- Aggarwal, N., Ram, H., Singh, G. and Sharma, P., 2016. Effect of plant density and nutrient management on symbiotic activity and productivity of extra large seeded kabuli chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genotypes. Progressive Research-An International Journal, 11: 19-23.
- Basavegowda, E., Seema, B., Sangeetha, M., Umesh, H., and Harish, M.S., 2019. Studies on Enhancing Seed Performance of Kabuli Chickpea. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 8 (04): 507-512.
- Chourasiya, A., Naik, K.R., Chauhan, A., and Das, S., 2018. Productivity and economic efficiency of chickpea as influenced by land configurations, irrigation scheduling and weed management. International Journal of Chemical Studies, 6 (4): 1867-1870.
- Fichers, R.A., and Yates, Y.E., 1958. Report on coordination of fishers statistics in India. A Handbook of Agricultural statistics, 17:47.
- Kumar, J., Katre, P., Ravishankr and Kumar, B., 2017. Effect of different irrigation methods on yield attributes and economics of chickpea and coriander intercropping in Vertisol of Chhattisgarh plains. International Journal of Chemical Studies, 5 (4): 695-698.
- Kumar, J., Kumar, S., Prajapati, B., Verma, Amar Kant, & Kumar, A., 2016. Yield and yield attributes of plant geometry of Gram (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under rainfed condition in Uttar Pradesh. Research in Environment and Life Science, 9 (9): 1087-1089.
- Kumari, N., Mondal, S., Mahapatra, P., Meetei, T.T., and Devi, Y.B., 2019. Effect of Biofertilizer and Micronutrients on Yield of Chickpea. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 8 (01): 2389-2397.
- Patel, K.B., Tandel, Y.N., and Arvadia, 2009. Yield and water use of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) as influenced by irrigation and land Configuration. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 5: 369-370.
- Rachhoya, H.K., Sharma, M., and Saini, V.K., 2018. Evaluation of Yield Performance of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) through Cluster Front Line Demonstration. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 7 (05): 2465-2471.
- Singh, A., Dhillon, B.S., and Sidhu, A.S., 2019. Productivity of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under Different Sowing Date and Seed Rate in South West Punjab. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 8 (05): 1419-1425.
- Singh, G., Ram, H., and Aggarwal, N., 2017. Growth, Productivity and Economics of Kabuli Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Genotypes in Response to Seed Rate in Northern India. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 6 (7): 3917-3930.

Verma, Amar Kant, and Yadav, P.N., 2018. Productivity and water-use efficiency of linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.)-based cropping systems as influenced by integrated nutrient management under rainfed condition. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation, 17 (1): 53-57.

Access this Article in Online	
	Website: www.ijarbs.com
	Subject: Agricultural Sciences
Quick Response Code	
DOI: 10.22192/ijarbs.2020.07.07.013	

How to cite this article:

Raghvendra Singh, Vijay Kumar Rajpoot, Amar Kant Verma, Sumit Raj, Yogesh Pratap Singh, Ranjeet Kumar & Amit Prakash Raghuvanshi. (2020). Production and Productivity on Row Spacing and Fertility Levels of White gram (*Cicer kabulium* L.) in Indo-gangetic Plain Zone of Uttar Pradesh. Int. J. Adv. Res. Biol. Sci. 7(7): 112-116.

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22192/ijarbs.2020.07.07.013>