



Analysis of Cultural Traits in Bacterial Isolates for Preliminary Identification and Classification

Sujata D. Palheriya* and N.B. Hirulkar

Department of Microbiology

Nabira Mahavidyalaya, Katol Dist. Nagpur 441302 M.S. India

*Corresponding author

Abstract

Accurate identification and classification of bacteria are fundamental for effective clinical diagnostics, environmental monitoring, and advancements in biotechnology. This study evaluated the cultural traits of bacterial isolates obtained from soil, water, and clinical samples. The isolates were cultured on nutrient, selective, and differential media under controlled laboratory conditions. Observations included colony morphology characteristics such as shape, size, colour, elevation, margin, texture, surface appearance, and growth rate. These features enabled preliminary differentiation of bacterial genera and species prior to biochemical or molecular confirmation. Despite the growing adoption of molecular diagnostics, classical culture-based methods remain indispensable in many settings due to their cost-effectiveness, simplicity, and reliability. This research highlights the continued relevance of cultural characterization as a practical tool for the preliminary identification and classification of bacteria in microbiological and diagnostic laboratories.

Keywords: (Bacterial identification, cultural traits, colony morphology, preliminary classification, nutrient media, diagnostic microbiology, classical methods).

Introduction

Bacteria represent the most diverse and ecologically significant group of organisms on Earth, playing indispensable roles in biogeochemical cycling, biotechnology, medicine, and environmental remediation (Wu et al., 2019; Franco-Duarte et al., 2019). Historically, the study of bacteria has relied on cultivation-based

approaches, originating from the pioneering work of Robert Koch in the late 19th century, which introduced pure culture techniques, solid media using agar, and Petri dishes—tools that remain central to microbiology today (Blevins & Bronze, 2010). Classical microbiological identification traditionally employed phenotypic characteristics such as colony morphology, pigmentation, growth rate, and biochemical traits, offering an accessible

yet informative means to differentiate bacterial taxa (Sousa et al., 2013; Lányi, n.d.). Colony morphology, encompassing parameters such as size, shape, elevation, margin, surface texture, and pigmentation, provides valuable preliminary insights into microbial diversity and taxonomy (Sousa et al., 2013).

These morphological traits often reflect underlying genetic, metabolic, and ecological adaptations, enabling presumptive identification and classification without the immediate need for molecular tools. Such preliminary screening is especially valuable in resource-limited laboratories where advanced molecular diagnostics, such as PCR or MALDI-TOF MS, may be cost-prohibitive (Gilligan, 2013; Carbonnelle et al., 2011). While modern molecular and proteomic approaches have dramatically improved accuracy, specificity, and speed of bacterial identification (Sauer & Kliem, 2010), culture-based methods remain indispensable for obtaining viable isolates for antibiotic susceptibility testing, genomic sequencing, proteomic profiling, and downstream functional studies (Lagier et al., 2015; Giuliano et al., 2019).

Despite the importance of culture-based identification, it is estimated that over 99% of bacterial species in natural environments remain uncultivable using standard laboratory media and techniques (Amann et al., 1995; Rappé & Giovannoni, 2003). These limitations bias culture collections towards readily cultivable, low-abundance taxa, leaving ecologically dominant and metabolically versatile microorganisms underrepresented (Overmann et al., 2017). Advances in cultivation strategies, including the use of low-nutrient media, in situ cultivation devices, and co-culture approaches, have recently expanded the range of cultivable taxa, yet significant gaps remain (Hugenholtz et al., 1998; Hahn et al., 2012).

The utility of cultural trait analysis is not limited to taxonomy—it extends to applied fields such as clinical microbiology, veterinary diagnostics, environmental monitoring, and bioremediation. In clinical settings, morphological traits often guide

early therapeutic decisions while confirmatory molecular identification is pending (Gilligan, 2013; Giuliano et al., 2019). In environmental microbiology, morphological screening aids in isolating metal-resistant bacteria (Joshi & Modi, 2013), pesticide-degrading strains (Naphade et al., 2012), dye-decolorizing isolates (Meerbergen et al., 2018), and other functional microbial groups critical for pollution mitigation.

Given the persistent relevance of culture-based methods, the analysis of cultural traits remains a cornerstone for preliminary bacterial identification and classification. The present study aimed to isolate bacteria from environmental and clinical samples and characterize their cultural traits using standard microbiological media. By systematically recording colony morphology, this research demonstrates how classical cultural characteristics continue to play a vital role in preliminary classification, providing a reliable basis for confirmatory biochemical, serological, or molecular analyses. This integrative approach strengthens the connection between traditional and modern diagnostic methods, ensuring robust, standardized practices in bacterial identification.

Literature Review:

Classical colony morphology remains an essential first step in bacterial identification, providing rapid, cost-effective diagnostic clues through easily observable cultural traits. Modern taxonomy integrates these traditional phenotypic markers with physiological, biochemical, and molecular analyses, enhancing precision while reaffirming the enduring value of cultural characteristics in microbial systematics. Carter (1990) studied traditional identification methods and emphasized their reliance on visible and behavioral characteristics such as cell morphology, colony form, and growth behavior. Gilligan (2013) observed that Gram staining, introduced in 1884, remains the first critical step in differentiating bacteria based on cell wall composition. Similarly, Sousa et al. (2013) demonstrated that variations in colony morphology—including size, pigmentation,

texture, and sheen—can indicate physiological adaptations, virulence potential, or environmental resilience.

Taxonomic manuals, such as Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology and the Manual of Clinical Microbiology, have systematically combined morphological, biochemical, and growth characteristics to improve bacterial classification (Carter, 1990). Lányi (n.d.) demonstrated species-specific nutritional requirements, such as the X factor (haemin) and V factor (NAD) needed by *Haemophilus spp.* Gilligan (2013) emphasized the role of selective and differential media—MacConkey agar for Gram-negative bacteria, mannitol salt agar for *Staphylococcus spp.*—in enhancing diagnostic precision.

Mustapha and Halimoon (2015) studied industrial effluents and demonstrated the isolation of heavy-metal-tolerant bacteria, underscoring the value of culture-based methods in environmental microbiology. Likewise, Stetzenbach, Kelley, and Sinclair (1985) investigated well-water bacterial populations and observed that many isolates exhibited survival strategies for nutrient-limited and fluctuating conditions. Wu et al. (2019) extended this line of research to wastewater treatment plants, highlighting global patterns in bacterial community diversity.

Despite their utility, Hahn, Koll, and Schmidt (n.d.) emphasized that many bacteria remain unculturable in laboratory conditions, a finding supported by Overmann, Abt, and Sikorski (2017), who studied culturing limitations and advocated for polyphasic approaches integrating phenotypic, chemotaxonomic, and molecular data. Rosselló-Móra and Amann (2015) demonstrated how such integrated strategies improve taxonomic resolution.

Molecular tools, particularly 16S rRNA gene sequencing, have revolutionized bacterial identification by enabling culture-independent analysis of microbial communities (Revetta et al., 2010). Franco-Duarte et al. (2019) observed that while molecular methods provide unmatched

accuracy, traditional phenotypic screening remains indispensable for initial classification. Hug et al. (2016) further emphasized that culture-independent surveys often uncover previously unknown microbial lineages.

Sauer and Kliem (2010) and Carbonnelle et al. (2011) studied the application of MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry in bacterial diagnostics, demonstrating its high-throughput capabilities and complementarity with classical microbiology. These rapid identification tools have been particularly valuable in detecting bacteria with specialized traits, such as heavy metal resistance (Joshi & Modi, 2013) or pesticide degradation capabilities (Latifi et al., 2012; Naphade et al., 2012; Hussaini et al., 2013; Agarry et al., 2013).

In summary, studies collectively demonstrate that modern bacterial identification follows a tiered paradigm: initial morphological and biochemical screening, rapid mass spectrometric profiling, and high-resolution genetic analysis. This approach preserves the foundational role of cultural traits while leveraging molecular and proteomic technologies to achieve robust and accurate classification.

Objectives:

1. Isolate and cultivate bacteria from diverse environmental (soil, water) and clinical samples using standard microbiological media under controlled laboratory conditions.
2. Observe and systematically document the cultural characteristics of bacterial colonies, including shape, size, colour, elevation, margin, texture, opacity, and hemolysis patterns on selective and differential media.
3. Evaluate the utility of classical culture-based methods for the preliminary identification and differentiation of bacterial genera and species prior to confirmatory biochemical or molecular testing.
4. Highlight the continued relevance and diagnostic value of cultural characterization in resource-limited settings where advanced molecular diagnostics may not be readily accessible.

Hypothesis:

H⁰ (Null Hypothesis): No significant variation exists in cultural traits among bacterial isolates under identical growth conditions.

H₁ (Alternative Hypothesis): Significant variation in cultural traits exist among different bacterial isolates, allowing preliminary identification and classification.

Research Methodology:

Sampling and Isolate Preparation: Eight bacterial isolates were collected from diverse environmental (soil and water) and clinical sources. Each isolate was labelled sequentially (Sample 1 to Sample 8) for systematic analysis.

Culture Media Selection: Appropriate selective and differential media were chosen based on the expected bacterial genera: *Staphylococcus* spp. – Mannitol Salt Agar (MSA), *Pseudomonas* spp. – Cetrimide Agar (IC), MacConkey Agar (MAC), Eosin Methylene Blue Agar (EMB), Cystine-Lactose-Electrolyte-Deficient Agar (CLED), *Pseudomonas* Isolation Agar (PIA), *Escherichia coli* – MAC, EMB, CLED, *Bacillus* spp. – *Bacillus* Differentiation Agar, *Rhizobium*/*Azotobacter* spp. – Yeast Extract Mannitol Agar (YEMA) and Yeast Extract Mannitol (YEM) medium, *Actinomyces* spp. – *Actinomyces* Isolation Agar, Culture and Incubation Conditions: All media were prepared, sterilized, and poured aseptically into sterile Petri dishes. Bacterial isolates were streaked using the standard streak plate technique under aseptic conditions. Plates were incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24–48 hours.

Preliminary Identification Criteria:

Preliminary identification of bacterial isolates was based on their characteristic cultural traits observed on selective and differential media: Sample 1 (*Staphylococcus aureus*): Cream to yellow colonies on MSA. Sample 2 (*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*): Colorless colonies on MAC, greenish-yellow growth on CLED, white

colonies on PIA, no growth on EMB. Sample 3 (*Escherichia coli*): Green metallic sheen on EMB, pink colonies on MAC, yellow colonies on CLED. Sample 4 (*Bacillus* spp.): Green colonies on *Bacillus* Differentiation Agar. Sample 5 (*Rhizobium* spp.): Bluish colonies on CLED, white colonies on YEMA, greenish growth on EMB. Sample 6 (*Actinomyces* spp.): Chalky white colonies on *Actinomyces* Isolation Agar and YEM. Sample 7 (*Proteus* spp.): Greenish-yellow colonies on CLED, purple on EMB, pink on MAC, white on PIA. Sample 8 (*Azotobacter* spp.): White colonies on both *Azotobacter* Isolation Agar and YEM.

This methodology enabled reliable preliminary differentiation of bacterial species, forming a foundational step for subsequent biochemical, serological, or molecular confirmation.

Results and Discussion

Observation of colony morphology across multiple selective and differential media revealed that classical cultural traits remained consistent with established descriptions, enabling effective preliminary identification of bacterial isolates. Each isolate demonstrated distinctive growth characteristics, confirming the diagnostic value of culture-based methods.

Sample 1 produced cream to yellow colonies on Mannitol Salt Agar (MSA), characteristic of *Staphylococcus aureus*. This result reflects mannitol fermentation leading to acid production, which changes the medium colour, and confirms the organism's ability to tolerate high salt concentrations. Sample 2 exhibited greenish-yellow colonies on CLED, colourless colonies on MAC, absence of growth on EMB, and white colonies on PIA. These features align with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, a non-lactose fermenter known for pigment production and adaptability to various media.

The absence of growth on EMB, which contains eosin and methylene blue, further supported its identification.

Sample 3 formed yellow colonies on CLED, pink colonies on MAC, and a green metallic sheen on EMB — the hallmark of *Escherichia coli*, which ferments lactose with strong acid production, resulting in the characteristic green sheen on EMB plates.

Sample 4 showed green colonies on *Bacillus* Differentiation Agar, consistent with the sporulation and pigment production of *Bacillus* species. Sample 5 demonstrated bluish colonies on CLED, white colonies on YEMA, and greenish colonies on EMB, indicative of *Rhizobium* species, which display these features on nitrogen-fixing media. Sample 6 formed chalky white colonies on both *Actinomycetes* Isolation Agar and YEM, consistent with *Actinomycetes*, which are characterized by filamentous growth and powdery, opaque colonies. Sample 7 showed distinct changes across media: greenish-yellow colonies on CLED, purple colonies on EMB, pink colonies on MAC, and white on PIA. These observations are typical of *Proteus* species, known for swarming motility and variability in colony appearance across different media.

Sample 8 produced large, opaque, white colonies on *Azotobacter* Isolation Agar and YEM, characteristic of *Azotobacter* species, which form smooth colonies on nutrient-deficient media. These findings confirm that using a range of selective and differential media allowed for effective separation and preliminary identification of diverse bacterial genera. Colony color, morphology, and responses on specific media provided reliable early indicators of bacterial identity. This study reinforces the importance of classical cultural techniques in microbiological investigations, demonstrating that when properly applied, they offer accurate insights into bacterial diversity and serve as a solid foundation for subsequent biochemical or molecular confirmation.

Sample 8 produced large, opaque, white colonies on *Azotobacter* Isolation Agar and YEM, characteristic of *Azotobacter* species, which form smooth colonies on nutrient-deficient media. These findings confirm that using a range of selective and differential media allowed for effective separation and preliminary identification of diverse bacterial genera. Colony color, morphology, and responses on specific media provided reliable early indicators of bacterial identity. This study reinforces the importance of classical cultural techniques in microbiological investigations, demonstrating that when properly applied, they offer accurate insights into bacterial diversity and serve as a solid foundation for subsequent biochemical or molecular confirmation.

Table 1: Cultural Characters of Bacterial Isolates

Isolates sample	Media	Observation
Sample 1	MSA media	Creamish yellow-coloured colonies.
Sample 2	CLED media EMB media MAC media PIA media	Greenish yellow colonies No growth Colourless colonies White colour colonies
Sample 3	CLED media EMB media MAC media PIA media	yellow colonies Green metallic sheen Pink colonies No growth
Sample 4	<i>Bacillus</i> differentiation Agar	Green colour colony
Sample 5	CLED media YEMA EMB media	Bluish colonies White colonies Greenish colonies
Sample 6	<i>Actinomycetes</i> isolation agar YEM media	White colour colonies White colour colonies
Sample 7	CLED media EMB media MAC media PIA media	Greenish yellow colonies Purple colony Pink colonies White colour colonies
Sample 8	<i>Azotobacter</i> isolation agar YEM media	White colour colonies White colour colonies

Table 2: Isolates Sample Bacteria Identification

Isolates sample	Identified Microorganisms
1	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
2	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>
3	<i>Escherichia coli</i>
4	<i>Bacillus</i> species
5	<i>Rhizobium</i> species
6	<i>Actinomyces</i> species
7	<i>Proteus</i> species
8	<i>Azotobacter</i> species

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that classical observation of colony morphology on selective and differential media provides reliable, cost-effective, and accessible means for the preliminary identification and classification of bacterial isolates. Careful documentation of colony colour, shape, size, and growth patterns enabled differentiation of key bacterial species, including *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Proteus*, *Bacillus*, *Rhizobium*, *Azotobacter*, and *Actinomyces*. These findings underscore the continued diagnostic value of cultural characterization, especially in resource-limited settings where advanced molecular tools may be unavailable.

Standard culture-based methods remain indispensable in clinical, environmental, agricultural, and industrial microbiology, offering essential first-line insights into microbial diversity. By reaffirming the importance of traditional techniques, this study highlights how integrating classical observations with subsequent biochemical and molecular tests strengthens the accuracy and efficiency of microbial identification, ultimately supporting effective diagnostics, epidemiological investigations, and environmental monitoring.

References

1. Amann, R. I., Ludwig, W., & Schleifer, K. H. (1995). Phylogenetic identification and in situ detection of individual microbial cells without cultivation. *Microbiological Reviews*, 59(1), 143–169.
2. Agarry, S. E., Olu-Arotiwa, O. A., Aremu, M. O., & Jimoda, L. A. (2013). Biodegradation of Dichlorovos (Organophosphate Pesticide) in Soil by Bacterial Isolates. *Journal of Natural Sciences Research*, 3(8), 12–16.
3. Blevins, S. M., & Bronze, M. S. (2010). Robert Koch and the ‘golden age’ of bacteriology. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 14(9), e744–e751.
4. Carter, G. R. (1990). Isolation and Identification of Bacteria from Clinical Specimens. In *Diagnostic Procedures in Veterinary Bacteriology and Mycology* (5th ed., pp. 19–39). Academic Press.
5. Carbonnelle, E., Mesquita, C., Bille, E., Day, N., Dauphin, B., Beretti, J.-L., Ferroni, A., Gutmann, L., & Nassif, X. (2011). MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry tools for bacterial identification in clinical microbiology laboratory. *Clinical Biochemistry*, 44(1), 104–109.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2010.06.017>
6. Franco-Duarte, R., Černáková, L., Kadam, S., Kaushik, K. S., Salehi, B., Bevilacqua, A., Corbo, M. R., Antolak, H., Dybka-Stepien, K., Leszczewicz, M., Tintino, S. R., de Souza, V. C. A., Sharifi-Rad, J., Coutinho, H. D. M., Martins, N., & Rodrigues, C. F. (2019). Advances in Chemical and Biological Methods to Identify Microorganisms—From Past to Present. *Microorganisms*, 7(5), 130.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms7050130>

7. Gilligan, P. H. (2013). Identification of pathogens by classical clinical tests. In E. Rosenberg, E. F. DeLong, S. Lory, E. Stackebrandt, & F. Thompson (Eds.), *The Prokaryotes – Human Microbiology*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-30144-5_90
8. Giuliano C, Patel CR, Kale-Pradhan PB. A guide to bacterial culture identification and results interpretation. *P&T (Pharmacy and Therapeutics)*. 2019 Apr;44(4):192–200. Available from: <https://www.ptcommunity.com/journal/article/full/2019/4/192/guide-bacterial-culture-identification-and-results>
9. Hugenholtz, P., Goebel, B. M., & Pace, N. R. (1998). Impact of culture-independent studies on the emerging phylogenetic view of bacterial diversity. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 180(18), 4765–4774.
10. Hussaini, S. Z., Shaker, M., & Iqbal, M. A. (2013). Isolation of Bacteria for Degradation of Selected Pesticides. *Advances in Bioresearch*, 4(3), 82–85. Society of Education, India. Available at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/256455080>
11. Hug, L. A., Baker, B. J., Anantharaman, K., Brown, C. T., Probst, A. J., Castelle, C. J., Butterfield, C. N., Hermsdorf, A. W., Amano, Y., Ise, K., Suzuki, Y., Dudek, N., Relman, D. A., Finstad, K. M., Amundson, R., Thomas, B. C., & Banfield, J. F. (2016). A new view of the tree of life. *Nature Microbiology*, 1(5), Article 16048. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.48>
12. Hahn, M. W., Koll, U., & Schmidt, J. (2019). Isolation and cultivation of bacteria (Chapter 10). Springer Nature Switzerland AG.
13. Joshi, B. H., & Modi, K. G. (2013). Screening and Characterization of Heavy Metal Resistant Bacteria for Its Prospects in Bioremediation of Contaminated Soil. *Journal of Environmental Research And Development*, 7(4A), 1531–1538. Retrieved from <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/360270949>
14. Latifi, A. M., Khodi, S., Mirzaei, M., Miresmaeili, M., & Babavalian, H. (2012). Isolation and characterization of five chlorpyrifos degrading bacteria. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 11(13), 3140–3146. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJB11.2814>
15. Lagier, J. C., Edouard, S., Pagnier, I., Mediannikov, O., Drancourt, M., & Raoult, D. (2015). Current and past strategies for bacterial culture in clinical microbiology. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 28(1), 208–236.
16. Lányi, B. (n.d.). Classical and rapid identification methods for medically important bacteria. In *Methods in Microbiology* (Vol. 19).
17. Mustapha, M. U., & Halimoon, N. (2015). Screening and isolation of heavy metal tolerant bacteria in industrial effluent. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*, 30, 33–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proenv.2015.10.006>
18. Meerbergen, K., Willems, K. A., Dewil, R., Van Impe, J., Appels, L., & Lievens, B. (2018). Isolation and screening of bacterial isolates from wastewater treatment plants to decolorize azo dyes. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 125(4), 448–456.
19. Naphade, S.R., Durve, A.A., Bhot, M., Varghese, J., & Chandra, N. (2012). Isolation, characterization and identification of pesticide tolerating bacteria from garden soil. *European Journal of Experimental Biology*, 2(5), 1943–1951. Pelagia Research Library. Available at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/260287336>
20. Overmann, J., Abt, B., & Sikorski, J. (2017). Present and Future of Culturing Bacteria. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, 71, 711–730. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-090816-093449>
21. Rappé, M. S., & Giovannoni, S. J. (2003). The uncultured microbial majority. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, 57, 369–394.
22. Revetta, R.P., Pemberton, A., Lamendella, R., Iker, B., Santo Domingo, J.W. (2010). Identification of bacterial populations in drinking water using 16S rRNA-based sequence analyses. *Water Research*, 44(5),

- 1353–1360.
doi:10.1016/j.watres.2009.11.008.
23. Rosselló-Móra, R., & Amann, R. (2015). Past and future species definitions for Bacteria and Archaea. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology*, 38(5), 209–216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2015.02.001>
 24. Stetzenbach, L. D., Kelley, L. M., & Sinclair, N. A. (1985). Isolation, identification, and growth of well-water bacteria. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 49(4), 997–1001.
 25. Sauer, S., & Kliem, M. (2010). Mass spectrometry tools for the classification and identification of bacteria. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 8(1), 74–82. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2243>
 26. Sousa, A.M., Machado, I., Nicolau, A., Pereira, M.O. (2013). Improvements on colony morphology identification towards bacterial profiling. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 95(3), 327-335. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2013.09.020>
 27. Wu, L., Ning, D., Zhang, B., Li, Y., Zhang, P., Shan, X., ... & Zhou, J. (2019). Global diversity and biogeography of bacterial communities in wastewater treatment plants. *Nature Microbiology*, 4(7), 1183–1195. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0426-5>

Access this Article in Online	
	Website: www.ijarbs.com
	Subject: Microbiology
Quick Response Code	
DOI: 10.22192/ijarbs.2025.12.12.003	

How to cite this article:

Sujata D. Palheriya and N.B. Hirulkar. (2025). Analysis of Cultural Traits in Bacterial Isolates for Preliminary Identification and Classification. *Int. J. Adv. Res. Biol. Sci.* 12(12): 22-29.
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22192/ijarbs.2025.12.12.003>