### International Journal of Advanced Research in Biological Sciences ISSN : 2348-8069 www.ijarbs.com

**Research Article** 

### NI I I NA NI VANA NI PANAN

# Efficiency of immobilized microbial combination for the bioremediation of tannery effluents in Vellore District, Tamil Nadu, India

### D. Sujitha\* and M. Jayanthi

Department of Microbiology, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar, Chidambaram-608 002, Tamil Nadu, India \*Corresponding author: *sujitha202@gmail.com* 

#### Abstract

Tannery effluents one of the most polluting industrial wastes. The effluent contains chromium, arsenic, zinc, cadmium, copper and mercury it's accumulating into water bodies and agriculture field causes serious problems. In this study the sample was collected from Vellore District, Tamil Nadu and ten heavy metal resistant bacterial isolates were identified. The bioremediation of heavy metals using microorganisms has received a great deal of attention in recent years, not only as a scientific novelty but also for its potential application in industry. Three categories were used to remediate the tannery effluents followed by Bioaccumulation (Living Cell), Biosorption (Dead cell) and Immobilization. Immobilized bacterial cell has great value to remediate the heavy metals compare to others.

Keywords: Tannery effluent, Bacteria, Bioaccumulation, Biosorption and Immobilization.

### Introduction

Environmental pollution has become a major concern of developing countries in the last few decades. There is a growing sense of global urgency regarding the pollution of our environment by an array of chemicals used in various activities (Palaniappan *et al.*, 2009; Saranraj *et al.*, 2010; Sadeeshkumar *et al.*, 2012; Saranraj and Stella, 2014). Pollution of water and soils by heavy metals is an emerging problem in urbo industrialized countries. Since, the advent of development through mining and smelting, tanning, sewage, warfare and metallurgical industries the survival of plants and animals are much affected (Xi *et al.*, 2009; Sriram *et al.*, 2013).

Tanning industry is recognized as a serious environmental threat all over the world. In India, leather industry contributes 15% of the world total leather production (Alam *et al.*, 2009; Saranraj and Stella, 2014) and it is the fourth exchange earner with a share of around 7% in the country's total exports.

Tanning industry contributes significantly towards exports, employment generation and occupies an important role in Indian economy on the other hand; tannery wastes are ranked as the highest pollutants among all the industrial wastes (Soyalsan and Karaguzel, 2007). The tannery industries released most commonly occurring metals at the discharge sites are lead, chromium, arsenic, zinc, cadmium, copper and mercury. The presence of these metals in the water and soil may cause serious threat to human health and ecological systems (Sundar *et al.*, 2010).

### **Materials and Methods**

#### **Collection of tannery effluent samples**

The tannery effluent to be bioremediated was collected from Vaaniyambadi, Vellore district of Tamil Nadu, India. Before sampling the effluent, the polythene container was cleaned thoroughly using distilled water. Immediately after the effluent sampling, the effluent sample was taken to the laboratory and stored at room temperature in the laboratory for further analysis using standard methods.

#### Estimation of heavy metals in tannery effluent

The estimation of trace heavy metals such as for Cr, Zn, Cu, Pb, Ni in the industrial effluent and soil was performed as per Malik *et al.* (1984).

### Estimation of Chromium, Zinc, Iron, Copper, Lead, Cadmium, Manganese and Nickel by Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometric (AAS) method

Three concentrations of each standard metal solution were selected to find out the expected metal concentration of a sample. Then, each standard was aspirated into flame and the absorbance was recorded. A calibration curve was prepared by plotting the absorbance of standards versus their concentrations. The estimations of chromium, copper, lead, zinc and nickel were done at the wavelengths of 357.9 nm (chromium, iron, copper and manganese), 324.7 nm (lead), 228.8 nm (cadmium) 248.3 nm (zinc) and 232.1 nm (nickel). The concentration of each metal ion was calculated in milligrams per litre, by referring to the appropriate calibration curve.

## Isolation and Identification of Bacterial Isolates from Tannery Effluent

Pour plate technique was used for the isolation of bacteria from the tannery effluent collected from Vaaniyambadi, Vellore district, Tamil Nadu, India. Ten different bacterial strains were isolated and identified.

### Screening of Bacterial Isolates for its Heavy Metal Resistance

#### **Disc diffusion method**

The bacterial (Pseudomonas isolated strains fluorescens, Proteus sp., Bacillus sp., Escherichia coli, Serratia sp., Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus Enterobacter asburiae, aureus, Alcaligenes sp. and Micrococcus sp.) were tested for their resistance to heavy metals (Cr<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup> and Ni<sup>2+</sup>) by Disc diffusion method. Freshly prepared Muller Hinton agar (MHA) plates were seeded with

respective cultures individually. The disc impregnated  $(20 \ \mu$ l) with respective metal solution  $(100 \ \text{mg/L} \text{ metal}$  solution of  $\text{Cr}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Pb}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$ ) were placed on the four corners of each petridishes and suitable control disc was also placed. The plates were then incubated at  $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$  C for 24 hrs. After incubation, the presence of inhibition zone was visualized. A zone size less than 1 mm was considered as resistance strain (Cervantes *et al.*, 1986). The bacterial isolates resistant to all the metals used were taken for further study.

### Determination of Minimum Inhibitory concentration (MIC) (Cervantes *et al.*, 1986)

The Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of heavy metal resistant bacterial isolates (Pseudomonas fluorescens, Proteus sp., Bacillus sp., Escherichia coli, Serratia sp., Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus, Enterobacter asburiae, Alcaligenes sp. and Micrococcus sp.) grown on heavy metals  $(Cr^{2+}, Zn^{2+}, Pb^{2+}, Cu^{2+} and Ni^{2+})$  incorporated media was determined by gradually increasing the concentration of the heavy metal by 10 µg/ml each time in the specific media until the strains failed to give colonies on the plate. The starting concentration used was 50 µg/ml. The culture growing on the last concentration was transferred to the higher concentration by streaking on the plate. The MIC was noted when the isolates failed to grow on plates.

### Bioremediation of heavy metals in tannery effluent using bacterial isolates

### **Preparation of Heavy metal solution**

The stock solutions of the heavy metals were prepared by mixing 100 mg of respective heavy metal *viz.*,  $Cr^{2+}$ ,  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Ni^{2+}$  in one litre of deionized water (Semra Ilhan *et al.*, 2004).

### Heavy metal adsorption by living microbial cells (Bioaccumulation) (Vargas *et al.*, 2009)

About 1% living bacterial biomass (*Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Proteus* sp., *Bacillus* sp., *Escherichia coli, Serratia* sp., *Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus, Enterobacter asburiae, Alcaligenes* sp. and *Micrococcus* sp.) were suspended individually in a solution (100 ml) supplemented with heavy metals. After incubation, cells were harvested

by centrifugation. The supernatants of the samples were analysed and the quantity of each metal removed was measured using AAS and expressed as mg/L.

## Heavy metal adsorption by dead microbial cells (Biosorption) (Vargas *et al.*, 2009)

Biomass from the bacterial isolates (Pseudomonas fluorescens, Proteus sp., Bacillus sp., Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas Serratia aeruginosa, sp., Staphylococcus aureus, Enterobacter asburiae. Alcaligenes sp. and Micrococcus sp.) grown in Nutrient broth were harvested by centrifugation and washed with distilled water three times. The pellet was dried and milled. Aliquots of dried microbial cells (200 mg/L) were prepared in distilled water and homogenized in a mixer to destroy aggregated cells. About 1 ml of cell suspensions were added to the metal solution (100 ml) prepared and incubated. After incubation, the suspensions were centrifuged and for biomass removal. Heavy metal filtered concentration in the supernatant was measured as previously described.

# Heavy metal adsorption by immobilized microbial cells (Johncy Rani *et al.*, 2010)

The bacterial cells (*Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Proteus* sp., *Bacillus* sp., *Escherichia coli*, *Serratia* sp., *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Enterobacter asburiae*, *Alcaligenes* sp. and *Micrococcus* sp.) were immobilized as beads according to the procedure of Leung *et al.* (2000). Two percent sodium alginate solution is prepared in sterile distilled water by heating it to 60°C and mixing

it thoroughly on a magnetic stirrer. Later, 100 ml of the sodium alginate was cooled to room temperature and 10% (10 ml culture in 100 ml sodium alginate solution) of the cell culture was added, the optimum condition was also studied as described above. The contents were mixed well by vigorous shaking to get a homogenized mixture. In a separate beaker, 100 ml of 0.1 M calcium chloride solution was taken. The sodium alginate containing cell culture suspension was extruded drop wise through a syringe and allowed to fall in the beaker containing calcium chloride solution. The beads of sodium alginate gel formed are left in the beaker overnight for hardening. Then beads were washed and stored in distilled water at  $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C. One gram of material contained 16 to 17 beads, each bead approximately weighing 60 mg. The beads (1 g) containing  $>10^5$  cfu/ml biomass were added to the conical flask containing 50 ml of samples and incubated at room temperature for 72 hrs. After which, the samples were withdrawn for heavy metal analysis using AAS.

### **Results and Discussion**

The resistance of bacterial isolates *Pseudomonas* fluorescens, Proteus sp., Bacillus subtilis, Escherichia coli, Serratia marcescens, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus, Enterobacter asburiae, Alcaligenes sp. and Micrococcus sp. which were isolated from the tannery effluent was tested against toxic heavy metals ( $Cr^{2+}$ ,  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Ni^{2+}$ ) by Disc diffusion method and the results were showed in Table – 1.

S.	Bacterial Isolates	Heavy Metals (100 mg/L)					
No		Cr	Zn (II)	Ni (II)	Cu (II)	Pb (II)	
		(VI)					
1.	Pseudomonas fluorescens	R	R	R	R	R	
2.	Proteus sp.	R	R	R	R	R	
3.	Bacillus subtilis	R	R	R	R	R	
4.	Escherichia coli	R	R	R	R	R	
5.	Serratia marcescens	R	R	R	R	R	
6.	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	R	R	R	R	R	
7.	Staphylococcus aureus	R	R	R	R	R	
8.	Enterobacter asburiae	R	R	R	R	R	
9.	Alcaligenes sp.	R	R	R	R	R	
10.	Micrococcus sp.	R	R	R	R	R	

 Table – 1: Determination of heavy metal resistant bacterial isolates

All the ten bacterial isolates viz., Pseudomonas fluorescens, Proteus sp., Bacillus subtilis, Escherichia coli, Serratia marcescens, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus, Enterobacter asburiae, Alcaligenes sp. and Micrococcus sp. were resistant to all the heavy metals. Amalesh Samanta et al. (2012) explained that the Microorganism was able to interact with a range of toxic metals, including copper, iron, magnesium, gold and lead. This ability was attributed to differences between the net negative charge of bacteria and the cationic charge of many metals. The theory stated that nucleation sites on the cell surface had the ability to bind metals of opposite charge. Once bound to the cell wall, this resulted in a nucleation site where a large concentration of metals could bind and precipitate on the cell wall.

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of bacterial isolates (*Pseudomonas fluorescens, Proteus* 

sp., Bacillus subtilis, Escherichia coli, Serratia marcescens. Pseudomonas aeruginosa, *Staphylococcus* aureus, Pseudomonas putida, Alcaligenes sp., Micrococcus sp.) to heavy metals  $(Cr^{2+}, Zn^{2+}, Pb^{2+}, Cu^{2+} and Ni^{2+})$  was determined and the results were showed in Table - 2. The bacterial isolates showed high tolerance to Chromium as compared with other heavy metals. Among the bacterial isolates, Bacillus subtilis showed maximum heavy metal tolerance (280  $\mu$ g/ml for Cr<sup>2+</sup> and 260  $\mu$ g/ml for Zn<sup>2+</sup> and Ni<sup>2+</sup> 250  $\mu$ g/ml, 240  $\mu$ g/ml for  $Cu^{2+}$  and 210 µg/ml for Pb<sup>2+</sup>) followed by Serratia marcescens, Pseudomonas fluorescens, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Enterobacter asburiae, Escherichia coli, Alcaligenes sp., Micrococcus sp. and Proteus sp. The bacterial isolate Staphylococcus aureus showed minimum heavy metal tolerance (130  $\mu$ g/ml for Cr<sup>2+</sup> and 100  $\mu$ g/ml for Zn<sup>2+</sup>, 120  $\mu$ g/ml for Ni<sup>2+</sup>, 100  $\mu$ g/ml for  $Cu^{2+}$  and 100 µg/ml for Pb<sup>2+</sup>) for all heavy metals.

S.	Microbial Isolates	MIC (µg/ml)							
No.		Cr (VI)	Zn (II)	Ni (II)	Cu (II)	Pb (II)			
1.	Serratia marcescens	270	250	250	210	220			
2.	Proteus sp.	160	140	120	100	110			
3.	Bacillus subtilis	280	260	250	240	210			
4.	Escherichia coli	180	170	160	160	130			
5.	Staphylococcus aureus	130	100	120	100	100			
6.	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	200	210	190	180	190			
7.	Pseudomonas fluorescens	230	230	210	200	220			
8.	Enterobacter asburiae	190	180	170	140	150			
9.	Alcaligenes sp.	180	170	160	140	160			
10.	Micrococcus sp.	180	150	170	140	110			

Table - 2: Determination of MIC of bacterial isolates to heavy metals

Bioremediation (Bioaccumulation, Biosorption and Immobilization) of heavy metals ( $Cr^{2+}$ ,  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $Ni^{2+}$ ,  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Pb^{2+}$ ) was studied by using live bacterial cultures, inactivated or dead bacterial cells and immobilized beads. Ten different bacterial isolates showed resistance against toxic heavy metals were used for the bioremediation studies. The results revealed that all the organisms were found effective in remedying heavy metals. The heavy metal adsorption by living bacterial cells was studied and the results were showed in Table - 3. The bioaccumulation studies revealed that the highest heavy metals adsorption was showed by the bacteria Bacillus *subtilis* (58.5 mg/L for  $Cr^{2+}$ , 58.1 mg/L for  $Zn^{2+}$ , 57.3 mg/L for Ni<sup>2+</sup>, 57.8 mg/L for Cu<sup>2+</sup> and 54.2 mg/L for Pb<sup>2+</sup>) followed by *Serratia* marcescens, *Pseudomonas* 

fluorescens, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Enterobacter asburiae, Escherichia coli, Alcaligenes sp., Micrococcus sp. and Proteus sp., whereas Staphylococcus aureus showed the lowest activity of heavy metal adsorption (33.2 mg/L for  $Cr^{2+}$ , 33.0 mg/L for Zn<sup>2+</sup>, 32.6 mg/L for Ni<sup>2+</sup>, 32.6 mg/L for Cu<sup>2+</sup> and 30.4 mg/L for  $Pb^{2+}$ ). Microbes deals with poisonous chemicals by applying enzymes to convert one chemical into another form and taking energy or utilizable matter from this process. The chemical transformations generally involve breaking of large molecules into several small molecules in simpler form. (Gupta et al., 2003; Anitha et al., 2010; Saranraj et al., 2010; Jayanthi et al., 2013; Saranraj and Sujitha, 2013; Jayanthi et al., 2014)

#### Int. J. Adv. Res. Biol.Sci. 1(8): (2014): 113-120

		Heavy metals absorbed (Initial concentration – 100 mg/L)					
S. No	Living bacterial cells	Cr(VI)adso rbed (mg/L)	Zn(II) adsorbed (mg/L)	Ni(II) adsorbed (mg/L)	Cu(II) adsorbed (mg/L)	Pb(II) adsorbed (mg/L)	
1.	Bacillus subtilis	58.5	58.1	57.3	57.8	54.2	
2.	Serratia marcescens	56.6	55.3	54.6	54.2	52.8	
3.	Pseudomonas fluorescens	53.2	51.8	51.3	51.6	50.2	
4.	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	51.3	50.8	50.6	49.8	47.4	
5.	Enterobacter asburiae	46.4	47.4	47.2	46.8	44.8	
6.	Alcaligenes sp.	43.2	43.0	42.4	41.6	40.8	
7.	Escherichia coli	42.6	41.5	40.6	40.0	38.7	
8.	Micrococcus sp.	38.6	38.4	36.3	36.6	34.5	
9.	Proteus sp.	36.4	36.9	35.8	35.2	33.0	
10.	Staphylococcus aureus	33.2	33.0	32.6	32.8	30.4	
	SEd		2.64	2.70	2.72	2.68	
	CD (P = 0.05)		5.29	5.6	5.46	5.38	

**Table – 3:** Heavy metal adsorption by living bacterial cells (Bioaccumulation)

The heavy metal adsorption by dead bacterial cells was tested and the results were showed in Table – 4. Among the ten bacterial isolates, Bacillus subtilis showed the maximum heavy metal adsorption (70.4 mg/L for Cr<sup>2+</sup>, 69.6 mg/L for  $Zn^{2+}$ , 70.3 mg/L for Ni<sup>2+</sup>, 68.9 mg/L for Cu<sup>2+</sup> and 64.6 mg/L for  $Pb^{2+}$ ) followed by Serratia marcescens. Pseudomonas fluorescens, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Enterobacter asburiae, Escherichia coli, Alcaligenes sp., Micrococcus sp. and Proteus sp. The bacterial isolate Staphylococcus aureus showed the minimum adsorption of the heavy metals (42.4 mg/L for  $Cr^{2+}$ , 43.5 mg/L for Zn<sup>2+</sup>, 42.2 mg/L for Ni<sup>2+</sup>, 40.8 mg/L for  $Cu^{2+}$  and 39.8 mg/L for Pb<sup>2+</sup>). Biosorption studies carried out on some promising natural biosorbents (algae, fungi, bacteria and yeast) and some waste materials which could serve as an economical means of treating effluents charged with toxic metallic ions. The major advantages of biosorption over conventional treatment methods include low cost, high efficiency, minimization of chemical and biological sludge and regeneration of biosorbent and possibility of metal recovery (Nilajana et al.,

2007; Saranraj and Stella, 2012; Saranraj *et al.*, 2014).

The heavy metal adsorption by immobilized bacterial isolates was analyzed and the results were showed in Table - 5. Among the ten bacterial isolates, Bacillus subtilis showed maximum heavy metal adsorption (79.2 mg/L for Cr<sup>2+</sup>, 78.4 mg/L for Zn<sup>2+</sup>, 77.4 mg/L for Ni<sup>2+</sup>, 76.8 mg/L for Cu<sup>2+</sup> and 74.2 mg/L for Pb<sup>2+</sup>) followed by Serratia marcescens, Pseudomonas fluorescens, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Enterobacter asburiae, Escherichia coli, Alcaligenes sp., Micrococcus sp., and Proteus sp., while Staphylococcus aureus showed the least heavy metal adsorption (50.4 mg/L for Cr<sup>2+</sup>, 50.9 mg/L for Zn<sup>2+</sup>, 50.0 mg/L for Ni<sup>2+</sup>, 49.8 mg/L for Cu<sup>2+</sup> and 47.4 mg/L for  $Pb^{2+}$ ). Immobilized cells have been reported to be very effective in heavy metal removal. Heavy metal toxicity and other extreme properties of waste effluents that may limit the use of living cell systems Immobilized cells appear to be of greater potential in controlling particle size, better capability of regeneration, easy separation of biomass and effluent and recirculation, high biomass loading, minimal clogging and reduced depletion of nutrient source (Katiyar and Katiyar, 1997; Sureshkumar et al., 2011; Saranraj and Stella, 2012).

#### Int. J. Adv. Res. Biol.Sci. 1(8): (2014): 113-120

		(Iı	Heavy metals absorbed (Initial concentration – 100 mg/L)					
S.No	Dead bacterial cells	Cr(VI)ads orbed (mg/L)	Zn(II) adsorbed (mg/L)	Ni(II) adsorbed (mg/L)	Cu(II) adsorbed (mg/L)	Pb(II) adsorbed (mg/L)		
1.	Bacillus subtilis	70.4	69.6	70.3	68.9	64.6		
2.	Serratia marcescens	67.6	67.3	65.8	65.2	63.8		
3.	Pseudomonas fluorescens	64.8	63.6	64.4	62.6	60.4		
4.	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	63.0	61.7	61.8	60.4	58.6		
5.	Enterobacter asburiae	58.8	57.5	56.3	57.4	56.8		
6.	Alcaligenes sp.	53.4	53.8	52.5	51.4	51.8		
7.	Escherichia coli	52.8	50.4	50.6	50.2	48.8		
8.	Micrococcus sp.	48.9	48.4	46.2	47.8	46.4		
9.	Proteus sp.	45.2	46.2	44.0	45.4	40.0		
10.	Staphylococcus aureus	42.4	43.5	42.2	40.8	39.8		
	SEd		2.88	3.11	2.92	2.90		
	CD (P = 0.05)		5.78	6.24	5.86	5.82		

 Table - 4: Heavy metal adsorption by dead bacterial cells (Biosorption)

 Table - 5: Heavy metal adsorption by immobilized bacterial cells (Immobilization)

		Heavy metals absorbed (Initial concentration – 100 mg/L)					
S. No	Immobilized bacterial cells	Cr(VI)ad sorbed (mg/L)	Zn(II) adsorbed (mg/L)	Ni(II) adsorbed (mg/L)	Cu(II) adsorbed (mg/L)	Pb(II) adsorbed (mg/L)	
1.	Bacillus subtilis	79.2	78.4	77.4	76.8	74.2	
2.	Serratia marcescens	75.6	74.8	72.6	72.3	71.4	
3.	Pseudomonas fluorescens	73.2	70.3	71.0	69.8	68.4	
4.	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	70.3	68.8	69.4	67.5	66.2	
5.	Enterobacter asburiae	67.8	65.6	64.8	65.2	64.2	
6.	Alcaligenes sp.	60.4	62.2	61.0	58.2	58.2	
7.	Escherichia coli	59.6	59.8	58.2	57.4	54.2	
8.	Micrococcus sp.	55.4	56.4	55.2	54.0	52.3	
9.	Proteus sp.	53.8	54.2	53.8	53.2	51.8	
10.	Staphylococcus aureus	50.4	50.9	50.0	49.8	47.4	
SEd		3.15	2.85	2.88	2.88	2.93	
CD (P = 0.05)		6.40	5.80	5.78	5.78	5.88	

### Conclusion

From the present study it was concluded the immobilized bacterial cell *Bacillus Subtilis* showed maximum heavy metal adsorption (79.2 mg/L for  $Cr^{2+}$ , 78.4 mg/L for Zn<sup>2+</sup>, 77.4 mg/L for Ni<sup>2+</sup>, 76.8 mg/L for Cu<sup>2+</sup> and 74.2 mg/L for Pb<sup>2+</sup>) followed by Immobilized bacterial cell *Serratia marcescens* (75.6

mg/L for  $Cr^{2+}$ , 74.8 mg/L for  $Zn^{2+}$ , 72.6 mg/L for Ni<sup>2+</sup>, 72.3 mg/L for  $Cu^{2+}$  and 71.4 mg/L for Pb<sup>2+</sup>), and immobilized bacterial cell *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (73.2 mg/L for  $Cr^{2+}$ , 70.3 mg/L for  $Zn^{2+}$ , 71.0 mg/L for Ni<sup>2+</sup>, 69.8 mg/L for  $Cu^{2+}$  and 68.4 mg/L for Pb<sup>2+</sup>).

#### References

- Alam , M.Z ., S. Ahmad and A.Malik. 2009. Genotoxic and mutagenic potential of agricultural soil irrigated with tannery effluents at Jaimau (Kanpur). *India Arch. Environ. Contant .Toxicol.*, 57: 463 - 476.
- Amalesh Samanta, Paramita Bera, Mahamuda Khatun, Chandrima Sinha, Pinaki Pal, Asif Lalee, Anurup Mandal. 2012. An investigation on heavy metal tolerance and antibiotic resistance properties of bacterial strain *Bacillus sp.* isolated from municipal waste. *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology Research*. 2(1): 178 - 189.
- Anitha, S., E. S. Chellaraj Emmanuel and P. Saranraj.
  2010. A study on impact of rare earth metals and bacteria in growth of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Journal of Ecobiotechnology*, 2 (7): 1 6.
- Cervantes, C., F.B. Holl, N.A. Cardova and De Na Mora. 1986. Resistance to metal by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Clinical Isolates Microbiol.*, 4: 159 -163.
- Gupta, A.K., M. Yunus, P. Pandey. 2003. Bioremediation in ecotechnology for the present century. *Inter. Soc. Environ. Botanists Environnews.*, 2: 9-19.
- Jayanthi, M., D. Kanchana, P. Saranraj and D. Sujitha. 2013. Bioremediation of toxic heavy metal chromium in tannery effluent using bacteria. *Applied Journal of Hygiene*, 2(2): 8 14.
- Jayanthi, M., D. Kanchana, P. Saranraj and D. Sujitha. 2014. Biosorption of chromium by *Penicillium chrysogenum* and *Aspergillus niger* isolated from tannery effluent. *International Journal of Microbiological Research*, 5(1): 40 - 47.
- Johncy Rani M., P.M. Pons and S. Sumathi. 2010. Uranium uptake by immobilized cells of *Pseudomonas* sp. strains EPS 5028, *Bacillus* sp. and *Micrococcus* sp. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 39: 661-665.
- Katiyar, S.K., R. Katiyar. 1997. Microbes in control of heavy metal pollution. *Adv. Microb. Biotechnol.*, 19: 330 - 344.
- Leung, W.C., M.F. Wong and C.K. Leung. 2000. Removal and recovery of heavy metals by bacteria isolated from activated sludge treating industrial effluents and municipal waste water. *Water Sci. Technol.*, 12: 233 - 240.
- Malik, D.M., M.Z. Khan and T.A. Chaudhary. 1984. Analysis Manual for soils, plants and waters.

Punjab, Lahore: Soil fertility Survey and soil testing Institute, Dept. of Agric. p.24.

- Nilanjana das, R. Vimala and P.Karthika. 2008. Biosorption of heavy metals- An overview. 2007.*Indian journal of biotechnology*, 159 - 169.
- Palaniappan, P.L.R.M., N. Krishnakumar and M. Vadivelu. 2009. Bioaccumulation of lead and the influence of chelating agents in Catla catla fingerlings. *Environ Chem Lett.*,7: 51 54.
- Sadeeshkumar, R., P. Saranraj and D. Annadurai. 2012. Bioadsorption of the toxic heavy metal Chromium by using *Pseudomonas putida*. *International Journal of Research in Pure and Applied Microbiology*, 2(4): 32 - 36.
- Saranraj, P and D. Stella. 2012. Bioremediation of sugar mill effluent by immobilized bacterial consortium. *International Journal of Research in Pure and Applied Microbiology*, 2(4): 43 48.
- Saranraj, P and D. Stella. 2012. Effect of bacterial isolates on reduction of physico – chemical characteristics in sugar mill effluent. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biological Archives*, 3(5): 1077 – 1084.
- Saranraj, P and D. Stella. 2014. Composting of sugar mill wastes: A Review. *World Applied Science Journal*, 31(12): 2029 – 2044.
- Saranraj, P and D. Stella. 2014. Impact of sugar mill effluent to the environment: A Review. *World Applied Science Journal*, 30(3): 299 316.
- Saranraj, P and D. Sujitha. 2013. Microbial bioremediation of chromium in tannery effluent: A Review. *International Journal of Microbiological Research*, 4(3): 305 320.
- Saranraj, P., D. Stella and P. Sivasakthivelan. 2014. Separation, purification and characterization of dye degrading enzyme Azoreductase from bacterial isolates. *Central European Journal of Experimental Biology*, 3(2): 19 – 25.
- Saranraj, P., D. Stella, D. Reetha and K. Mythili. 2010. Bioadsorption of chromium resistant *Enterococcus casseliflavus* isolated from tannery effluent. *Journal of Ecobiotechnology*, 2 (7): 17 – 22.
- Saranraj, P., V. Sumathi, D. Reetha and D. Stella. 2010. Fungal decolourization of direct azo dyes and biodegradation of textile dye effluent. *Journal of Ecobiotechnology*, 2 (7): 12 – 16.
- Semra Ihan, Macit Nurbas Nour Baksh, Serpil Kilicarslan and Hurseyin Ozdaj. 2004. Removal of Chromium, Lead and Copper ions from industrial waste waters by *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*.

Turkish Electronic Journal of Biotechnology. 2: 50 -57.

- Soyaslan, I., and R. Karaguazel. 2007. Investigation of water pollution in the yalvac basin into egirdir lake. *Turkey. Environmental Geology.*, 55: 1263 -1268.
- Sriram, N., D. Reetha and P. Saranraj. 2013. Biological degradation of Reactive dyes by using bacteria isolated from dye effluent contaminated soil. *Middle – East Journal of Scientific Research*, 17(12): 1695 – 1700.
- Sundar, K., R. Vidya, A. Mukherjee and M. Chandrasekara. 2010. High Chromium Tolerant Bacterial Strain from River Basin, Impact of tannery pollution. *Research Journal of Environmental and Earth Sciences*, 2(2): 112 - 117.
- Suresh Kumar, R., P. Ganesh, K. Tharmaraj and P. Saranraj. 2011. Growth and development of black gram (*Vigna mungo*) under foliar application of Panchagavya as organic source of nutrient. *Current Botany*, 2 (3): 9 -11.
- Vargas, E., B. Volesky, I. Kiran and T. Akar. 2009. Biosorption of heavy metals in water supplies production of oil industry. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol., 62: 279-288.
- Xi, X.Z., T.J. Xin, T.J., L.X. Duan, and J. Pei. 2009. Isolation, identification and characterization of cadmium resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain. *Journal of Central South University Technology*, 16: 0416 - 0421.