
International Journal of Advanced Research in Biological Sciences

ISSN : 2348-8069

www.ijarbs.com

Research Article



Assessment of ejection fraction in myocardial infarction patients after the use of beta-blockers

Bahaaedin A. Elkhader^{1*}, Alsafi Abdella Bala² and Mohammed A. Ali Omer^{1,2}

¹Sudan University of Science and Technology, College of Medical Radiological Science, P. O. Box: 1908, Khartoum- Sudan

²Radiologic Technology Department, College of Applied Medical Science Qassim University- Buraidah, KSA.

*Corresponding author: dr.elkhaderali@gmail.com

Abstract

Myocardial infarction (MI) a major cause of death and disability worldwide. Beta blockers were antiischemic agents and decreased myocardial oxygen consumption by reducing heart rate, blood pressure and myocardial contractility. This study was designed with the aim to provide information about the benefits of beta-blockers at improvement of ejection fraction in patients with myocardial infarction. The present study was one of the first echocardiographic studies of patients treated with beta blockers for myocardial infarction focusing on ejection fraction. The study was carried out in echocardiography department of Sudan Heart Center in Khartoum-Sudan during July 2012-June 2014. Study population comprised a total of 67 adults patient who underwent cardiac ultrasound scanning were enrolled in the study. (52; 77.6% males and 15; 22.4% females) and aged 33 to 86 years; mean age of 64 ± 14.67 years. Echocardiography studies were performed using Esaote echocardiography System (My Lab 50 Xvision, Italy) with Phased array probe, convex face with small footprint for "peeking" in between rib interspaces (2.5 MHz). The mean and standard deviation (SD) of LVEF before prescribed beta blockers was (43.10 ± 4.2) . After received beta blockers the mean and standard deviation (SD) of LVEF was (57.12 ± 8.6) , T-Test for Paired Samples showed significant different effect between two variables. 48 patients had normal LVEF (>55%), 15 mildly reduced (45%–54%) and 4 moderately reduced (<44%). The result showed significant increase in ejection fraction ($p < 0.001$) after received beta blockers.

Keywords: Myocardial infarction; Beta blockers; ejection fraction; echocardiography; Sudan Heart Center.

Introduction

Myocardial infarction (MI) is the most common contributor of morbidity and mortality worldwide (Antmen and boanwald, 2008). Beta-blockers are one of the 4 oral medications proven in randomized control trials to decrease cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (Mukherjee et al., 2004). Additionally, beta blockers administered post-MI have been shown to decrease reinfarction rates (Viskin et al., 1995 and Yusuf et al., 1988) and infarct size, prevent ischemic complications (Hjalmarson, 1997), and reduce the incidence of intra cerebral hemorrhage (Hoffmann et al., 2000). Left ventricular ejection fraction (LV-EF) after acute myocardial infarction (AMI) is an

important marker for mortality. (Pfeffer et al., 1992 and Volpi et al., 1993) LV-EF may be assessed by nuclear imaging, (Senior et al., 1994) magnetic resonance imaging (Ioannidis et al., 2002) and echocardiography (McGowan et al., 2003). Nuclear imaging and magnetic resonance imaging provide relatively reliable information and with acceptable intra- and interobserver variability (Benjelloun et al., 1991 and Hyun et al., 2001). However, the use of these imaging modalities is limited by radiation exposure during nuclear imaging, high costs and non-availability in the coronary care unit and catheterization laboratory. Echocardiography is

currently the most frequently used imaging modality for the assessment of LV-EF. However, echocardiographic images are sometimes of poor quality and in a recent review article high intra- and inter-observer variability's were reported for echocardiographic LVEF assessment (McGowan *et al.*, 2003). Beta blocker therapy given immediately following the diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction (MI) has been shown to have a favorable effect on mortality (Borzak *et al.*, 1993). BBs are recommended to be used indefinitely in patients with decreased LVEF after AMI (Dargie *et al.*, 2001).

Materials and Methods

A prospective, cross sectional study was carried out in echocardiography Department of Sudan Heart Center in Khartoum-Sudan during July 2012-June 2014. Study population comprised a total of 67 adults patient who underwent cardiac ultrasound scanning were enrolled in this prospective study. For each participant an extensive medical history was obtained that included Residence, Drug type and etiology. Each participant also underwent a detailed physical examination, including measurement of body mass index (BMI). Echocardiography studies were performed using Esaote echocardiography System (My Lab 50 Xvision, Italy) with Phased array probe, convex face with small footprint for "peeking" in between rib interspaces (2.5 MHz). A water-soluble gel was used to produce airless contact between the transducer and the patient's chest. With the patient in the recumbent position, the transducer was placed in the fourth inter costal space at the left sternal border and directed posteriorly, laterally, and inferiorly to obtain a group of strong echoes from the posterior left ventricular wall. These echoes were recognized by their characteristic motion anteriorly during ventricular systole and posteriorly during diastole (Feigenbaum *et al.*, 1968 and Popp *et al.*, 1969). Formal approval was obtained by the Ethics and Scientific Committee of the Radiological and Ultrasound Departments in the major reference hospitals of Khartoum State. The study was explained fully and carefully to participants and their parents, and written informed consent was obtained from the consecutively enrolled participants. Data were initially summarized into means, standard deviations (SD); mean \pm SD and percentages in a form of comparison tables and graphs. Statistical analysis was performed using the standard Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA)

version 16 for windows and P-value was used for significance.

Results

The study population comprised of 67 myocardial infarction patients who receive blocker, made up of 52 (77.6%) males and 15 (22.4%) females. Their ages ranged from 33 to 86 years, with mean age and standard deviation (SD) of 64 ± 14.67 years (Table 1). In female population, 9 subjects were in the age group above 70 years, representing 60% of the population. The age group of 50-59 years was the smallest (0%) of the population. In the population of males, 19 subjects were in the age group above 70 years, representing 36.5% of the population. The age group of 50-59 years was the smallest (7.7%) of the population (Table 2). The mean BMI was 28.51 ± 5.6 kg/m². Among them 26.9% had a BMI less than 25 kg/m², 28.4% had a BMI between 25 to 30 kg/m², 26.9% had a BMI between 30 to 35 kg/m² and 17.9% had BMI >35 kg/m² (Table 3). Place of residence was divided into three groups in which (n = 33; 49.3%) from metropolitan area (MA); (n = 20; 29.9%) from nonmetropolitan urban area (UA); (n = 14; 20.9%) and rural area (RA) (Table 4). Of the 67 patients under study, 8 patients (11.9%) had unknown reason, while 9 (13.4%) had family history, 7 patients (10.4%) had cardiovascular disease, 15 patients (22.4%) had Diabetes mellitus, 12 patients (17.9%) had hypertension, 8 patients (11.9%) were obesity, 8 patients (11.9%) were smoking (Table 5). The mean and standard deviation (SD) of LVEF before prescribed beta blockers was (43.10 ± 4.2) . After receive beta blockers the mean and standard deviation (SD) of LVEF was (57.12 ± 8.6) (table 6), T-Test for Paired Samples shows there is significant different effect between Two Variables (table 7). 48 patients had normal LVEF (>55%), 15 mildly reduced (45%–54%), and 4 moderately reduced (<44%) (table 8).

Discussion

Coronary heart disease (CHD) is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in men as well as women all over the world (Chaudhary and Khan, 2003). The incidence and mortality rates of CHD have been halved in all age groups because of population-wide improvements in the major risk factors, particularly smoking, cholesterol, and blood pressure (Capewell *et al.*, 2000). In fact, the figures in young patients may be

Table 1: Gender of the patient

	Range	Mean		±SD	
Age (years)	33-86	64		±14.67	
Gender(67)		Male	52		77.6%
		Female	15		22.4%

Table 2: Distribution of age groups among gender

Age	gender		Total	Percentage (%)
	male	female		
39	6	1	7	10.4
40-49	5	2	7	10.4
50-59	4	0	4	6
60-69	18	3	21	31.3
70	19	9	28	41.8
Total	52	15	67	100

Table 3: Body Mass Index

Body Mass Index	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Mean ± SD (kg/m²)	28.51±5.6	
19 less than 25	18	26.9
25 less than 30	19	28.4
30 less than 35	18	26.9
great than 35	12	17.9
Total	250	100.0

Table 4: Residence of patients

Residence	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Metropolitan area	33	49.3
Nonmetropolitan urban area	20	29.9
Rural area	14	20.9
Total	67	100

Table 5: Etiology of MI among patients

Etiology	Frequency	Percentage (%)
unknown	8	11.9
family history	9	13.4
cardiovascular disease	7	10.4
Diabetes mellitus	15	22.4
hypertension	12	17.9
obesity	8	11.9
smoking	8	11.9
Total	67	100.0

Table 6: Ejection Fraction before and after received Beta Blockers

	Mean	Std. Deviation
Ejection Fraction % before	43.10	4.247
Ejection Fraction (%) after	57.12	8.564

Table 7: T test for Ejection Fraction
One-Sample Test

	t	p-value
Ejection Fraction (%)	54.591	<0.001

Table 8: Distribution of patients Ejection Fraction

	Frequency	Percentage (%)
>=55	48	71.6
45-54	15	22.4
30-44	4	6.0
Total	67	100.0

lower than actual because of atypical presentation and reluctance to submit themselves for further investigations (Klein and Nathan, 2003). Two-dimensional echocardiography has recently gained popularity as a noninvasive diagnostic aid in the evaluation of various forms of heart disease. Although M-mode echocardiography is valuable in detecting wall motion changes related to ischemia (Corya *et al.*,1975), and occasionally in predicting the clinical course of patients after myocardial infarction, two-dimensional echocardiography, with its expanded view in real-time of the left ventricle, has enhanced our ability to evaluate wall motion changes caused by coronary artery disease (Kisslo *et al.*,1977 and Morganroth *et al.*,1994). Our study revealed that the percentage of the elderly MI patients were more than in young MI patients, it comprised 53 patients in old group (79.1%), whereas 14 patients in young group (20.1%).This finding was supported by Kam *et al.* (2002) who found that elderly MI patients were more likely compared to young MI patients. On other hand, our study revealed that BMI has significant difference. These findings were in agreement with Jousilahti *et al.* (1996) who reported that obesity is a risk factor for AMI, but is also strongly associated with other factors in the development of coronary heart disease, such as hypertension and diabetes mellitus, as well as dyslipidaemia and inflammation. In our study, we found that percentage of the patients from metropolitan area (MA) were more than

nonmetropolitan urban area (UA) and rural area (RA). This finding was in agreement with (Pandey *et al.*,2010) who reported that interpretation of results shows 70% of MI cases were from rural population of Jhalawar and with (Chauhan and Aeri,2013) who found Data also suggests that although the prevalence rates of CVD in rural population will remain lower than that of urban populations.

Our study revealed that Diabetes mellitus is more prevalent in MI patients. Also, our study revealed that hypertension is more prevalent. These findings were in agreement with (Gonzalez *et al.*, 1992 and Gonzalez *et al.*, 1996) who reported that the incidence rate of MI accords with the high prevalence of classic cardiovascular risk factors among this population, such as diabetes, hypertension, and dyslipidemia. The result of this study showed significant increase in ejection fraction (p=0.00) after received beta blockers. This finding was supported by (Anane *et al.* (2012) who found that a significant increase in the LVEF occurred after treatment with the -blockers,and with (Campen *et al* (1998) who reported that in almost all studies, significant increase in the LVEF occurred after treatment with beta-blockers.

Conclusion

This study had demonstrated a significant increase in LVEF occurred after treatment with the beta blockers. However, this type of analysis did not allow an accurate statistical comparison of the various beta-blockers, for which a meta- analysis with data for

individual patients needed. Nevertheless, the differences in improvement were small and, importantly, mean the baseline LVEF values for the different beta-blocker studies were almost identical. Again this study had shown that the association of LVEF and mortality changes substantially across the full spectrum of LVEF. This information clarified the role of LVEF in advanced heart failure patients and helped clinicians provided better estimates of prognosis. In addition understanding that arrhythmias and worsening heart failure contributed to substantial mortality observed in patients with reduced LVEF helps targeted future interventions in this population.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the staff of the ultrasound department at the Sudan Heart Center (SHC) for their cooperation and support during data collection.

References

- Benjelloun H, Cranney GB, Kirk KA, Blackwell GG, Lotan CS, Pohost GM. 1991. Interstudy reproducibility of biplane cine nuclear magnetic resonance measurements of left ventricular function. *Am J Cardiol*;67:1413.
- Borzak S, Gheorghide M. 1993. Early intravenous beta blocker combined with thrombolytic therapy for acute myocardial infarction: The thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (TIMI-2) Trial. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis*;36:261–266.
- Capewell S, Beaglehole R and Seddon M .2000. Explaining the decline in coronary heart disease mortality in Auckland, New Zealand between 1982 and 1993. *Circulation*, 102: 1511-1516.
- Chaudhary MR and Khan JS .2003. Trans-myocardial revascularization by laser (TMRL)-mechanism of action and five year follow-up. *Pak J Cardiol*; 14: 121-133
- Corya BC, Rasmussen S, Knoebel SB, Feigenbaum H. 1975. Echocardiography in acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol* 36: 1.
- Dargie HJ. 2001. Effect of carvedilol on outcome after myocardial infarction in patients with left-ventricular dysfunction: the CAPRICORN randomised trial. *Lancet*; 357[9266]: 1385-90.
- Ellot M Antmen, Eugene boanwald. 2008. Acute MI. *Harrison's Principle of internal medicine* 17; 243 1386-1399.
- Feigenbaum h, PoPrP RL, CHIP JN, HAINE CL. 1968. Left ventricular wall thickness measured by ultrasound. *Arch Intern Med* 121: 391.
- Gonzalez-Villalpando C, Stern MP, Arredondo-Perez B. 1996 .Undiagnosed hypercholesterolemia: A serious health challenge. The Mexico City Diabetes Study. *Arch Med Res*; 27:19-23
- Gonzalez-Villalpando C, Stern MP, Villalpando E. 1992. Prevalence of diabetes and glucose intolerance in an urban population at a low-economic level. *Rev Invest Clin* 44:321-28
- Hjalmarson A. 1997. Effects of beta blockade on sudden cardiac death during acute myocardial infarction and the postinfarction period. *Am J Cardiol*; 80(9B): 35J-39J.
- Hoffmann RL, Reeder SJ and Rodgers JM.2000. Beta-blocker therapy for secondary prevention of myocardial infarction. *Dimensions of Crit Care Nurs*; 19(2): 2-10.
- Hyun IY, Kwan J, Park KS, Lee WH. 2001. Reproducibility of Tl-201 and Tc-99 m sestamibi gated myocardial perfusion SPECT measurement of myocardial function. *J Nucl Cardiol*;8:182–7.
- Ioannidis JP, Trikalinos TA, Dianas PG. 2002. Electrocardiogramgated single photon emission computed tomography versus cardiac magnetic resonance imaging for the assessment of left ventricular volumes and ejection fraction: a meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*;39:2059–68.
- IV. Anane C, Owusu I.K, Sarpong K, Buabeng K.O, Anto B.P. 2012. Improving Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction of advanced heart failure patients using Beta-blockers as adjuncts in the treatment protocol, *Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics* (Impact Factor: 2.1).
- Jousilahti P, Tuomilehto J, Vartiainen E, et al. 1996. Body weight, cardiovascular risk factors, and coronary mortality: 15-year followup of middle-aged men and women in eastern Finland. *Circulation*; 93:1372–1379.
- Kam R, Cutter J, Chew SK, et al. 2002. Gender differences in outcome after an acute myocardial infarction in Singapore. *Singapore Med J*; 43(5):243-248.
- Kisslo JA, Robertson D, Gilbert BW, VonRamm D, Behar VS. 1977. A comparison of real-time two-dimensional echocardiography and cineangiography in detecting left ventricular asynergy. *Circulation* 55: 134.
- Klein LW and Nathan S 2003. CAD in young adults. *J Am Coll Cardiol*, 41: 529–531.

- McGowan JH, Cleland JG.2003. Reliability of reporting left ventricular systolic function by echocardiography: a systematic review of 3 methods. *Am Heart J*;146:388.
- Mukherjee D, Fang J, Chetcuti S et al. 2004. impact of combination evidence-based medical therapy on mortality in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *Circulation*;109:745-749
- Morganroth J, Chen CC, David D, Naito M, Mardelli TJ. 1980. Echocardiographic detection of coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol* 46: 1178.
- Pfeffer MA, Braunwald E, Moye LA, Basta L, Brown EJ Jr, Cuddy TE et al. 1992.Effect of captopril on mortality and morbidity in patients with left ventricular dysfunction after myocardial infarction. Results of the survival and ventricular enlargement trial. The SAVE investigators. *N Engl J Med*;327:669–77.
- Popp RL, Wolfe SB, Hirata T, Feigenbaum H. 1969. Estimation of right and left ventricular size by ultrasound: A study of the echoes from the interventricular septum. *Amer J Cardiol* 24: 523.
- Senior R, Sridhara BS, Basu S, Henley M, Handler CE, Raftery EB et al. 1994.Comparison of radionuclide ventriculography and 2D echocardiography for the measurement of left ventricular ejection fraction following acute myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J*;15:1235–9.
- Shraddha Chauhan, Dr. Bani Tamber Aeri. 2013.Prevalence of cardiovascular disease in India and its economic impact- A review, *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, Volume 3, Issue 10, 1 ISSN 2250-3153.
- Sushma Pandey , Suresh Pandey , Purushottam Jhanwar , Anshul Jhanwar. 2010. A prospective study of Myocardial Infarction patients admitted in a tertiary care hospital of south-eastern Rajasthan, *BioMedSciDirect Publications IJBMR -ISSN: 0976:6685*.
- Van Campen LC, Visser FC, Visser CA. 1998. Ejection fraction improvement by beta-blocker treatment in patients with heart failure: an analysis of studies published in the literature.*J Cardiovasc Pharmacol.* 32 Suppl 1:S31-5. Review
- Viskin S, Kitzis I, Lev E, et al. 1995. Treatment with beta-adrenergic blocking agents after myocardial infarction: From randomised trials to clinical practice. *Am Coll Cardiol*; 25(6): 1327-32.
- Volpi A, De Vita C, Franzosi MG, Geraci E, Maggioni AP, Mauri F et al. 1993.Determinants of 6-month mortality in survivors of myocardial infarction after thrombolysis. Results of the GISSI-2 database. The ad hoc working group of the gruppo italiano per lo studio della sopravvivenza nell'Infart miocardico (GISSI)-2 data base. *Circulation*;88:416–29.
- Yusuf S, Wittes J and Friedman L. 1988. An overview of results of randomised clinical trials in heart disease. I. Treatments following myocardial infarction. *J Am Med Assoc*; 260(14): 2088-93.